

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98698
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Phosphorus and Boron on Growth, Yield and Economics of Sweet Corn (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript important for scientific community The title of this article is appropriate Abstract description is complete The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate The manuscript is scientifically correct The bibliography is good because most of them use the last 10 years of literature Worthy of publication but needs improvement according to suggestions	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the manuscript suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The introduction has been well described but it is necessary to add some research results related to the combination of phosphorus and boron. In addition, it is also necessary to describe the differences with the research that has been done and the advantages of this research compared to previous studies The use of the word maybe should be replaced with allegedly in the discussion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakka Samudin
Department, University & Country	Tadulako University, Indonesia