

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98506
Title of the Manuscript:	“Influence of nitrogen and weed management practices of yield and economics of Blackgram (Vigna mungo L.)”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript highlights the role of nitrogen application and different weed management practices on the yield and economics of the mung bean. Nitrogen being the macro-nutrient responsible for vegetative growth while weed management techniques aid in better nutrient and space for crop growth. The results of the study are good but the authors need to some major revision in the manuscript including the addition of the new informtion 2. Yes 3. Yes, but add the data regarding the major finding 4. Yes. 5. Yes. 6. No, author need to add further references and details as mentioned in the mansript 7. The additional comments indicated on the manuscript. Kindly find the attached file	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need some minor English language checking but he referencing and citation style need to be checked properly.	
Optional/General comments	comments indicated on the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prashant Sharma
Department, University & Country	Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India