

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98436
Title of the Manuscript:	"Effect of potassium and zinc on growth, yield and economics of Cluster bean (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.)"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community to know. By knowing the growth constraints of cluster beans (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.) productivity will increase.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is not clear. The abstract only includes methods and results. A brief introduction and the objectives are not clear, the discussion is not explained, and no conclusion. The abstract should include a brief introduction, objective, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>4. Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript consists of an abstract, introduction, methodology, results, discussion, conclusions, and a bibliography, but needs to complete the recommendations from the results of this study.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, however, it needs improvement in perfecting this paper.</p> <p>6. Most of the references cited are more than 10 years old.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the introduction, add insight and the author's plan for problem-solving efforts, and also add previous research related to this research. The method is not clear, and data analysis is not described 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quality of the English articles is suitable for scientific communication, but needs improvement. The English in the manuscript has been corrected 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In perfecting this manuscript, some parts of this paper need to be improved, which are abstract, adding literature in the introduction, equipped method, and references need improvement.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yelin Adalina
Department, University & Country	Indonesia