

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98485
Title of the Manuscript:	"Evaluation of varieties and gypsum on growth and yield of groundnut ( <i>Arachis hypogaea</i> )"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes,  Yes, suitable  Yes, but the abstract can be improved (both technically and language wise)  Need to include a few side heading in the methods and methodology section (mentioned in the comments)  Yes, it is.  A few more references are needed (please check the comments)	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	There are grammatical errors and many sentences are repeated. Repetitions should be avoided. The language is poor, need to improve it.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some unnecessary discussions are included in the introduction, please avoid them. Introduction should focus on problem statement and the solution. Review should be provided in the introduction itself. Please avoid repetitions (particularly in results, a few statements are repeated in all sections of the result. Graphs are missed in the results section. Please include them for better and easy understanding. Please improve the language.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Perli Monisha
Department, University & Country	Dr YSR Horticultural University, India