

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97630
Title of the Manuscript:	NANO FERTILIZER IN CROP PRODUCTION; THE CHANGING SCENARIO
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I think it's not sufficient as a review article! 2. No, it has to change 3. The abstract is so long 4. The section and subsection should be numbering 5. Somewhat, but some statements have no reference; I didn't find physiological effects of Nano fertilizers! 6. No, some references are old <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authors need to identify what is difference between this article and other same articles, for example: https://www.mdpi.com/2079-4991/12/1/173 it needs to use much more updated references in crops and horticulture! 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it needs some revision.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hossein Zahedi
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran