

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_97614
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological Assessment of Smoked-Dried White Shrimp ( <i>Nematopalaemon hastatus</i> Aurivillius, 1898) Sold in Calabar, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This manuscript is important to the scientific community especially for food safety</li> <li>The title of the article is in accordance with the content and discussion</li> <li>The abstract of the article is quite complete</li> <li>subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate</li> <li>The text is scientifically correct, well tested, but it is necessary to mention the number of repetitions</li> <li>Sufficient and up-to-date references but need to be added related to food poisoning related to pathogenic microbes</li> </ol> <p>See the attachment</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the quality of the language/English of the article is suitable for scientific communication</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In general it is good, it needs to be added to the method and discussion of the influence of the method of drying shrimp that is sold and market conditions where it is sold which is thought to play a role in contributing to the presence of pathogenic microbes</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sri Hidayati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lampung University, Indonesia</b>