

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_98033
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of disease duration on sex differences in cardiometabolic risk factors in type 2 diabetic patients on metformin-glimepiride oral therapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) The article is an important scientific research for public health. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Yes 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The article is an important scientific research for public health.</p> <p>Introduction section: Authors should interpret why they are doing this research.</p> <p>The authors comment on their findings in the discussion.</p> <p>Limitations of the research can be added.</p> <p>Additions can be made from the references of the last five years. Corrections made in the article are shown in the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The article is an important scientific research for public health.</p> <p>Introduction section: Authors should interpret why they are doing this research.</p> <p>The authors comment on their findings in the discussion.</p> <p>Limitations of the research can be added.</p> <p>Additions can be made from the references of the last five years. Corrections made in the article are shown in the text.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Papatya Karakurt
Department, University & Country	Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, Türkiye