

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_98718
Title of the Manuscript:	Atypical location and appearance of chondromyxoid chondromyxoid fibroma in a child
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Authors present a 10 years-old child with an atypic localization of condromyxoid fibroma. I have highlighted the some needed corrections with yellow in the attached word file. There is a duplication in the title. Abstract is comprehensive. Full version of the term should be used where the abbreviation firstly written. (e.g. magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)) Subsections of the manuscript is appropriate. There are some typos and should be corrected. Definition of the figure should be reviewed and re-written. References are enough.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs revision.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilker Ilhanli
Department, University & Country	Ondokuz Mayıs University, Türkiye