

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_98301
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the Impact of RMB Exchange Rate Changes on China-Japan-Korea Commodity Trade
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>The article shows a good research work on the impact of RMB exchange rate changes on commodity trade. However, the literature review is not very comprehensive, and the partial regression results should be supplemented with summary statistics, Breusch-Pagan Test, chi-square statistic etc to make results more robust and acceptable in the research. Multiple unit roots test should be executed like Harris-Travllis, Levin-Lin-Chu etc. to prove similar results. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The structure and the subsections of the manuscript can be worked upon to improve it.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. References are less and the research work should be supplemented with more recent references. No new paper after 2018 is cited in the research work. Emphasis should be made on citing recent work more.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakshi Aggarwal
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Foreign Trade, India