

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_96667
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Of Social Media On Patients' Perception Of Dental Aesthetics In Aurangabad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes but lack proper arrangement The title is okay but not full address. It can be "Social Media usage and Perception by Patients' of Dental Aesthetics In Aurangabad" The Abstract should be visit especially introduction aspect No subsection and structural aspect should be visit The article is scientifically correct but the statistical tools should be inferential statistical not descriptive statistical Only Binalrimal S. is 2019 other are old because social media current issues many papers had been published 2020, 2021 and 2023.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not fully scholarly communicate with the language and statistical tools since is medical areas	
Optional/General comments	The paper should be accepted depending the researcher visit the above comments and do proper job	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucky Oghenetega Urhiewhu
Department, University & Country	Dennis Osadebay University, Nigeria