

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_98548
Title of the Manuscript:	Monkeypox Detection using Transfer learning, ResNet50, Alex Net, ResNet18 & Custom CNN Model
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The following points have to updated in the manuscript and then it is considered for publication <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the algorithm proposed in the study. 2. How TP,FP and Precision is calculated in the study. 3. What is the dataset used in the study. 4. What the software metrics used in the study. 5. What is the precision recall percentage when compared with other results. 6. Graphs have to be added in the manuscript. 7. How the dataset is preprocessed in the study. 8. What is the software develop and how the algorithm is implemented in the software code. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. R. Ananthanarayanan
Department, University & Country	SCSVMV, India