

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofAgriculturalExtension,Economics&Sociology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJAEES_98374
TitleoftheManuscript:	Socio-EconomicStatusofWheatGrowersinSomeSelectedAreasofBangladesh
Typeofthe Article	OriginalResearch Article

General guidelineforPeer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. ToknowthecompleteguidelineforPeer Reviewprocess,reviewersarerequestedtovisitthislink:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correctthemanuscriptandhighlightthat partinthe manuscript. Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Compulsory REVISIONcomments 1. Isthemanuscriptimportantfor scientificcommunity? (Pleasewriteafewsentencesonthismanuscript) 2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (If notpleasesuggestanalternativetitle) 3. Isthe abstractofthearticlecomprehensive? 4. Aresubsectionsandstructureofthemanuscriptappropriate? 5. Doyouthinkthemanuscriptisscientificalllycorrect? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you havesuggestion of additional references, please mention in thereviewform. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free toprovideadditionalsuggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript does not discuss the results obtained, it does not explain the reasons fortheresultsandtherelationships,therefore,Isuggesttheauthorsaddacoupleofdiscussionparagraphswith otherpapers.</p> <p>The manuscript is interesting. However, there are some comments that should beconsideredbeforepublication,inthiswaythescientificqualityofthemanuscriptwouldbeimproved.</p> <p>Authorsshouldconsiderthecorrectionssuggestedbythereviewers.Inthisway,the postulatedmanuscript wouldbepresentedreasonably,andfluentlyreading. Suggestedcitationsareforgenuinescientificreasonsthatemphasizethecurrenttopicofstudyin thecontext</p>	
Minor REVISIONcomments 1.Islanguage/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitableforscholarlycommunications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oliveros, B
Department, University & Country	University of Cordoba, Spain