

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_98256
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers Perception of Climate Change and Adaptation Measures to Poverty Diseases Along River Niger in Edo and Kogi States, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, as it deals with the urgent topic of climate change and poverty-related diseases Yes, but it could be modified slightly as indicated. OK Yes Yes, mostly. Relatively few references; a few more could strengthen the discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is the main issue with this paper; it needs thorough revision and correction by a native English language speaker. Particularly, the word choice, grammar and punctuation needs improvement.	
Optional/General comments	1. See comments, suggestions and text corrections directly annotated in the manuscript in "Track changes" mode. 2. A map showing the location of study areas would be helpful. 3. Table 1 appears to be missing as referred to on page 3. 4. At the beginning of the Results and Discussion section (bottom of page 3) the authors mention 21 climate change indicators, but elsewhere and in Table 2 only 18 are mentioned. 5. Addition of a few more relevant references may strengthen the discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roshan Man Bajracharya
Department, University & Country	Kathmandu University, Nepal