

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98268
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Significance of ISO 10993 Standards in Ensuring Biocompatibility of Medical Devices: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	I reviewed the article "Assessing the Significance of ISO 10993 Standards in Ensuring Biocompatibility of Medical Devices: A Review" and found it can be accepted in this form after Major Revision. However, some comments remained -More physical explanation of results is required. -The Abstract should be improved. -The quality of the figures should be improved. -Finally, the language of the paper needs to be polished. -Based on the topic the title is so short and needs to be clarify the problem statement clearly. -The Figures quality are too weak please improve the quality and put some arrays on the important part -The language of the paper needs major polish. Use the following reference in the related parts: doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.34172/ijbsm.2021.08">10.34172/ijbsm.2021.08</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.22060/AJME.2020.17450.5862">10.22060/AJME.2020.17450.5862</a> 10.22034/JNA.2022.680836 J. Nanoanalysis., 6(3): 172-184, Summer 2019 <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7229459/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7229459/</a> More than 5 literature review should be added	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Major revision	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amirsalar Khandan
Department, University & Country	MUI, Iran