

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_97895
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening and evaluation of the antisalmonella activity of leaf extracts of Telfairia occidentalis Hook.f. (Cucurbitaceae) on Salmonella typhii cell culture.
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. Based on the results published here in the manuscript, researchers opt for a polyherbal combination while screening for Anti salmonella activity instead of choosing T. occidentalis alone. Yes Yes Yes Yes but conclusion need some revision, As per the results, anti-salmonella activity of T. occidentalis is very low with activity only possible at 1000mg/ml . However in the conclusion, authors mentioned the use of this plant in category 1 traditional medicine. Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Font size is not uniform Also check grammar in any online grammar checking tool	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gana Manjusha K
Department, University & Country	Vignan Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, India