

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_97910
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS SUGGESTIVE OF DEPRESSION IN PATIENTS SERVED WITH FEBRILE ILLNESS IN CAMPO GRANDE (MS) AND DOURADOS (MS) IN THE PERIOD FROM 2018 TO 2019
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes, scientifically it is important to know the clinical impact  Yes Yes Yes Yes  Yes  Yes	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, however minor recheck in the sentence structure is needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. Abbreviation should be included in the manuscript for ex DENV, 2. Conjunction "And" should be avoided while starting the sentence, kindly check. 3. It would be more clear if the author align the reference in ascending manner in the context, because the reference added in the context are not properly arranged which is difficult for the reader to refer the reference. 4. It would be fair enough if the author could check the sentence structure once again. 5. As clinical participant are included, IRB or ethical approval is needed. Kindly provide it	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>AL. Akilandeswari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chettinad School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, India</b>