

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_96924</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Advances in the co-host immune response to multisystem in-flammatory syndrome and Kawasaki disease in children with AI-guided features</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short communication</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1) In the literature survey section, the problems of the existing methods are not clearly explained and the results of the work related to them are not properly explained. 2) Explanation of figures are not clear. 3) In the abstract, the authors should place more results and values on this part. Add more explanation in conclusion part. 4) Give more explanation in existing method about result and discussion part. 5) Some recent reference documents should be included in related journals 6) Add more explanation in conclusion part. 7) Need more explanation in result analysis 8) The Language errors is seen in many places in the manuscript and typo errors are also seen in the manuscript. Revise the manuscript accordingly  <b>Please refer and cite paper using below</b>  <a href="https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=uie7AAYAAAAJ&amp;hl=en">https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=uie7AAYAAAAJ&amp;hl=en</a>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Major	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Digvijay Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>