

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_98118
Title of the Manuscript:	DISPARITIES IN THE SUSCEPTIBILITIES OF ABO AND RH BLOOD ANTIGENS TO SEVERE PLASMODIUM FALCIPARUM IN CHILDREN UNDER FIVE-YEARS; A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY AMONG RURAL DWELLERS, NORTH EAST REGION, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Manuscript is a nice effort to provide some new information with reference to relationship between blood types and disease burden of malaria. May be a little more relevant title like (DISPARITIES IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF ABO AND RH BLOOD ANTIGENS TO PLASMODIUM FALCIPARUM IN CHILDREN UNDER FIVE-YEARS; A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY AMONG RURAL DWELLERS, NORTH EAST REGION, GHANA) Yes but some minor details are needed and I am attaching article with comments to amend. Yes Yes but some important formulas are missing in methods and again in results please add. There are sufficient references, a map of the area should be added in methodology.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of language is acceptable, but some sentences are needed to be rephrased. A word feverish is used quite often which seems little inappropriate in scientific writing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lubna Khatoon
Department, University & Country	Copenhagen International College, Denmark