

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_98066
Title of the Manuscript:	HR-Stability of Generalized Quadratic functional equation in Random Norm spaces
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>In this paper, we propose a generalized quadratic functional equation with a finite variable that has a solution. The direct method was used to study Hyers-Ulam stability in a random normed space. The article presents a number of definitions, theorems and corollaries. Proofs of theorems have a clear and understandable structure, logical validity and completeness.</p> <p>2. In my opinion, the title of the manuscript reflects its content.</p> <p>3. The above abstract of the manuscript seems to me to be very short. I think the abstract of the manuscript should be expanded to a reasonable extent.</p> <p>4. This manuscript is missing one section - general conclusions on the research problem</p> <p>5. Despite the lack of conclusions on the research problem, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. This manuscript contains an extensive analysis of publications on the research topic. However, the manuscript contains few references to publications in this scientific area over the past 5 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>This manuscript is written in a strict and understandable mathematical/English language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This study may be of great importance to mathematicians studying such problems. In my opinion, the manuscript "HR-stability of a generalized quadratic functional equation in spaces of random norms" can be published in the Asian Research Journal of Mathematics.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tetiana Nadryhailo
Department, University & Country	Dniprovsky State Technical University, Ukraine