

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_97839
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview on Fungal Diseases in Angiospermic Plants
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Yes, the manuscript is important since it touches the current and challenging issues</li> <li>✓ Yes, as to me it needs some modifications i.e. An Overview on Fungal Diseases of major Angiospermic Plants</li> <li>✓ Yes, more or less it is comprehensive but it needs some modification as I tried to put in the paper</li> <li>✓ For it is appropriate</li> <li>✓ Yes , it correct if the comment is incorporated</li> <li>✓ Yes sufficient but most of the references are very old therefore it need to be updated</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Here it needs general modification on this issue I tried to give my comment specially in grammar part and using crop protection terms	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally, it is important if the paper is published for scientific community and other stakeholders	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Melka Kecha Gelaso
Department, University & Country	Oda Bultum University, Ethiopia