

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Cardiology Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCR_97479 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Left ventricular diastolic function in sickle cell anaemia: clinical and haemodynamic correlates |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. The manuscript is scientifically important. 2. The title is suitable. 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. The tables should be within the results, not after references. 5. The authors took 60 patients with sickle cell anemia to compare them with controls, but they did not mention if the controls are already checked for cardiac disease or not? 6. References seems to be sufficient. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Language needs to be checked. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Ali A. Hadi Alsaady |
| Department, University & Country | Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq |