

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_98686
Title of the Manuscript:	Wild bee nutrition in agroecosystems: pollen protein in Solanum melongena L. from agricultural fields in Pune, Maharashtra, India.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The provided study information and results are worth publishing. The study is descriptive in nature. Albeit very informative, the study is not highly important for the scientific community. 2. The title of the paper is suitable 3. The abstract comprehensive. Please include common names in the manuscript, either in the Title, or as keywords and/or in the Abstract text: "Eggplant, (Solanum melongena), also called aubergine or Guinea squash, the nightshade family (Solanaceae)." 4. The overall structure of the manuscript is okay. 5. The contents seem sound and correctly presented. 6. Sufficient references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please address the topic of potential toxicity of Solanaceae plants to bees. For example, alkaloids, such as nicotine, but also many other secondary metabolites found in Solanaceae plants, may affect bee behaviors and survival. Bees may be able to tolerate or even detoxify these compounds. It's important to note that the toxicity of these plants can vary depending on the concentration of toxic compounds. Please check all references on correctness. Also, some citations are in the text as names, while others cited as numbers.	
Optional/General comments	No further comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harmen P. Hendriksma
Department, University & Country	Wageningen University and Research, Netherlands