

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96305
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF NUTRITION EDUCATION ON KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES ON NUTRI-FARMS TO FARM WOMEN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, and the introduction is well designed to base that.</p> <p>Yes, good tittle.</p> <p>NO, it's necessary more details about methodological issues.</p> <p>NO, it's important to describe better the questionnaires and the domains of intervention and outcomes.</p> <p>No, not enough description</p> <p>No suggestions</p> <p>PLEASE GIVE MORE DETAILS, PLEASE GIVE (COULD BE IN APPENDIX) THE QUESTIONNAIRE and educational struments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	ok	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please consider improve the abstract, there are no sufficient information regarding the methods. Please give more details about the nutritional educational program.</p> <p>The questionnaire was previously validated?</p> <p>Please give details about when the questionnaires were applied (immediately after or 1 day after the end of the course...for example)</p> <p>More details about the overall attitude of farm women regarding food consumption pattern.</p> <p>I can't understand anything of the results if I can understand what was considerate in questionnaires.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lenyca de Cassya Lopes Neri
Department, University & Country	Università di Pavia, Italy