

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_98279
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, identification and characterization of thermotrophic psychrotrophic bacteria from market samples of pasteurized milk collected from Mannuthy, Kerala State, India
Type of the Article	Research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is providing new findings regarding microflora of pasteurized milk. Yes, it is all right. Yes it is comprehensive. Necessary corrections are mentioned/commented in the manuscript only. Yes, it is Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and sound well.</p> <p>1. Yes, the references are recent, adequate and relevant to the topic selected. Still some more references should be added in the discussion part, especially Indian papers. Some are mentioned below: Raj, J., Kumar, A., Bhattacharya, A., &amp; Rekha, V.B. (2010). A study on microbial quality of raw and pasteurized milk sold in Puducherry, India. <i>Journal of Veterinary Public Health</i>, 8, 121-125.</p> <p>Sonawane, R. (2018). Microbial quality test of pasteurized milk distributed by pvt. milk processing unit in Nanded. <i>International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences</i>, (2321-3272): 189-192.</p> <p>2. The Time period of the sample collection, sample belonging (private/local/branded) methodology used, etc. are important aspects. So, authors should provide specific answers wherever demanded.</p> <p>3. At many places, sentences are not followed by full stop, comma so authors should take care of this in addition to English language.</p> <p>4. The effect of factors like tempe., humidity, seasonal changes, feed/fodder, etc should be discusses with regard to obtained results in the current study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It can be upgraded.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall the article is written well and discussed. Outcome of the studies are critically discussed and compared with the other research papers. Therefore, I would recommend to accept the paper after major revisions suggested.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amiben Patel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mansinhbhai Institute of Dairy and Food Technology, India</b>