

## Original Research Article

**Impacts of various chemical herbicides on weeds in sugar cane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) growth, yield and quality.**

### **ABSTRACT:**

A field experiment was conducted in Sugarcane Research Center Farm ElGuneid, Sudan, 2018/19 in two sites, to assess the effect of chemical herbicides, Ametryne (3.8 L/ha)+Atrazine (3.8 L/ha), Atrazine (3.0 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (2.9 L/ha) and Metribuzin (5.21 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (3.57 L/ha), on common weed control, sugar cane growth, yield and quality. Experimental design was a randomized complete block (RCBD) with three replications. Results revealed that the combination of Metribuzin (5.21 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (3.57 L/ha) recorded the best results in weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) for both grass and broadleaved weeds in sugarcane crop compared to the other treatments and the control in the two sites. On the other hand, significant difference of means between treatments was observed in growth parameters and yield of sugar cane at 3, 6 and 9 month ages. Among all treatments the combination of Metribuzin (5.21 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (3.57 L/ha) showed the best results, irrespective to parameters compared to the other treatments and the control in the two sites. The mixture of Metribuzin+pendimethalin sustained the highest weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) for both grass and broadleaved weeds in addition to increase cane yield and yield component compared to other treatments and the corresponding control.

**Key words:** Sugarcane, herbicides, weeds, yield

## INTRODUCTION:

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is considered as one of the major strategic crops in Sudan. It is mainly processed to produce sugar in Kenana Sugar Company owned largely by the private sector, in addition to four other publicly owned factories under the control of the Sudanese Sugar Company. All Sudan sugarcane schemes at Guneid, New Halfa, Sennar, Assalaya, Kenana and White Nile lie in the central clay plain where abundant flat land and sufficient irrigation water are available (Adam *et al.*, 2015 and Dawelbeet, or Dawelbeit??2010). In sugarcane cultivation the nature of weed problem is quite different from other crops because it is planted with a relatively wider row spacing, its growth is very slow in the initial stages, as it takes about 30 to 45 days for complete germination and another 60-75 days for developing full canopy cover, this provides ample opportunity for weed to occupy the vacant spaces between rows and offer serious crop weed competition (Kundu *et al.*, 2020). Weeds have been estimated to cause 12 to 72% reduction in cane yield depending upon the severity of infestation (Anonymous 2013). Weed management methods are time consuming and expensive due to increased labor costs (Yadav *et al.*, 2020). However, with the scarcity of manual labor and intensive crop production, introduction of chemical weed control was necessary to be introduced that replaced traditional weed control measures and more effective in controlling weeds without any adverse effect on cane quality and is time saving (Gafar *et al.*, 2016; Abusinet *et al.*, 2017; Kundu *et al.*, 2020).

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

The main objective of the present study is to evaluate the effects of various chemical herbicides on weeds control and plant cane crop growth, yield and quality.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS:**

### **Experimental site:**

A field experiment was conducted for one seasons 2018/19 in two sites, at Sugarcane Research Center at EL Guneid area, about 120 km south east of the capital Khartoum (33° 19"E, 14° 47"N). The climate is tropical arid, the soil of the experimental site was classified as Suleimi soil series which is clayey semioctocalluvium, clayey vertisol with moderate soil fertility. The variety tested was Co 6806. Recommended package of practices were followed to raise the crop.

### **Chemical herbicides:**

Three combination of chemical herbicides; **W<sub>2</sub>**; Ametryne (3.8 L/ha)+Atrazine (3.8 L/ha), **W<sub>3</sub>**; Atrazine (3.0 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (2.9 L/ha) and **W<sub>4</sub>**; Metribuzin (5.21 L/ha)+Pendimethalin (3.57 L/ha) were used.

### **Method of spraying:**

A knapsack sprayer (CP<sub>3</sub>) with a capacity of 16 liter was used for applying herbicides.

### **Experimental design:**

A Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) replicated three times and four treatments.

### **Parameters:**

#### **Weed parameters:**

Common weed species in the sugarcane field were identified and classified into categories by using a quadrat (0.5 m x 0.5 m) to determine the weed density (no m<sup>-2</sup>), Dry matter percent (DM%) and weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) by using the standard practices applied to determine the average of these weed control parameters.

#### **Sugarcane growth and yield parameters:**

Cane yield and yield components viz; cane yield (tc ha<sup>-1</sup>), plant population/ha, cane length, cane diameter and number of nodes were recorded. The juice quality parameters including total soluble solids cane (brix%), sucrose percent (pol%), purity% cane and ~~Estimated~~ recoverable sugar (ERS%) were determined from juice analyzed according to ICUMSA ~~method~~ ~~methods~~ ~~method~~ ~~methods~~ of analysis (Plews, 1997).

The data collected for the different characters were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the standard procedure of the complete randomized plot design and means separation was done by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) for the main and interaction effects.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS:**

##### **Weed flora:**

Results displayed that 15 common weed flora were identified and classified into two categories; grasses and broad-leaved weeds. (Table 1) The most common grass weeds were *Brachiaria ercuiformis* (89.3 m<sup>-2</sup>) and *Echinochloa colonum* (46.9 m<sup>-2</sup>) while broad leaved weeds include *Ipomoea cordofana* (16.9 m<sup>-2</sup>), *Corchorus fascicularis* (10.9 m<sup>-2</sup>), and *Euphorbia convolvuloides* (4.5 m<sup>-2</sup>) *Rhynchosia mernonia* (3.5 m<sup>-2</sup>). The least broad leaved weeds density were *Hibiscus esculentus*, *Tribulus terrestris*, *Eclipta prostrata*, *Amaranthus graecizans*, *Sorghum sudanese*, *Digera alternifolia* and *Phyllanthus niruri*.

**Table 1.**Major weed species in experimental field

Scientific Name	Local Name	Weed type	Weed density (m <sup>-2</sup> )
<i>Brachiariaaerციiformis</i>	Um koreaat	Grass	89.3
<i>Echinochloacolonum</i>	Difra	Grass	46.9
<i>Corchorusfascicularis</i>	Khodra	Broadleaved	10.9
<i>Ipomoea cordofana</i>	Tabar	Broadleaved	16.9
<i>Euphorbia convolvuloides</i>	Labana	Broadleaved	4.5
<i>Rhyncosiamemnonia</i>	Adana	Broadleaved	3.5
<i>Phyllanthusniruri</i>	Soreeb	Broadleaved	2.0
<i>Tribulusterrestris</i>	Derassa	Broadleaved	0.9
<i>Digeraalternifolia</i>	Lublub	Broadleaved	0.9
<i>Hibiscus esculentus</i>	Pamea	Broadleaved	0.7
<i>Eclipta prostrate</i>	Tamer Elgnam	Broadleaved	0.3
<i>Trianthemapentandra</i>	Rabaa	Broadleaved	0.1
<i>Amaranthusgraecizans</i>	LisanElteer	Broadleaved	0.1
<i>Sorghum Sudanese</i>	Adar	Broadleaved	0.1

**Weed density m<sup>-2</sup>:**

Results showed that among different treatments, the minimum grass weeds density (0.3-0.8 m<sup>-2</sup>) recorded with application of newly recommended herbicide mixture **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment followed by **W<sub>3</sub>**, **W<sub>2</sub>** and **W<sub>1</sub>** which were 3.9-2.5, 39.6-83.0 and 83.7-136.2 m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively in the two season (Table 2). Also, the minimum broadleaved weeds density (6.3-6.8 m<sup>-2</sup>) recorded with application of the herbicide mixture of **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment followed by **W<sub>3</sub>**, **W<sub>2</sub>** and **W<sub>1</sub>** which recorded (13.0-13.7, 11.8-13.3 and 47.7-41.5 m<sup>-2</sup>) respectively in the two seasons. The weed density results achieved in different treatments may be due to the performance of different combination chemical herbicides applied in the study. The results are in line with those of Pratap *et al.*, (2013) who concluded that application of Metribuzin herbicide was found most effective in minimizing weeds density (no/m<sup>2</sup>) as compared to the corresponding control. The results agreed with Mishra *et al.*, (2012) who reported that application of Metribuzin significantly reduced weed density in sugarcane ratoon. Also, similar findings were also reported by Singh *et al.*, (2012).

**Dry matter of weeds (DMW%):**

Experiment results data (Table 2) showed that among different treatments, the minimum DMW% (34.2-36.2 %) recorded with application of newly recommended herbicide mixture of **W<sub>4</sub>**: treatment followed by the other treatments **W<sub>3</sub>**, **W<sub>2</sub>** and **W<sub>1</sub>** which recorded (52.5-54.1, 53.9-62.6 and 71.2-72.9%), respectively in the two season. The results of DMW% according to the difference in weed density and the performance of the chemical herbicides applied in the study. These results were in agreement with Rana, (2002) who reported that application of chemical herbicides for weed control reduced DMW %.

### **Weed control efficiency (WCE%):**

Regarding to weed control efficiency percent (WCE%), results showed that among different treatments, the highest WCE% for grass weeds was 99.6–99.4 % recorded with application of **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment followed by the **W<sub>3</sub>**, **W<sub>2</sub>** and **W<sub>1</sub>** which recorded 95.3–98.1, 52.7–39.1 and 0.0–0.0%, respectively in the two site (Table 2). Experiment results achieved for grass weeds control showed that the application of newly recommended herbicide mixture of **W<sub>4</sub>** and **W<sub>3</sub>** combinations gave excellent results in controlling grass weeds compared to combination herbicides **W<sub>2</sub>** and the control. The highest WCE% for broadleaved weeds was 86.7–83.6% recorded with application of **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment followed by the other **W<sub>2</sub>**, **W<sub>3</sub>** and **W<sub>1</sub>** which recorded 75.3–67.9, 72.8–66.9 and 0.0– 0.0%, respectively in the two site. Experiment results achieved for broadleaved weeds control showed that the application of **W<sub>4</sub>** and **W<sub>2</sub>** combinations gave excellent results in controlling broadleaved weeds compared to **W<sub>3</sub>** combination herbicides and the control. These results might be due to control of initial weed growth due to the application of chemical herbicides. Results revealed that all the weed control methods significantly reduced weed flora and weed biomass as compared to weedy check (Singh *et al.*, 2008). Similar to those of Mishra, *et al.*, (2012) who reported that high weed control efficiency (WCE%) as a result of the application of metribuzin herbicide in sugarcane. In general, Application of the newly recommended herbicide combination of **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment in plant cane crop gave an excellent result in weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) for grass and broadleaved weeds compared to the old recommended herbicide combinations **W<sub>2</sub>**, **W<sub>3</sub>** and the control in the study.

**Table 2.** Effects of various chemical herbicides on weed density, weed DM% and WCE%

Experiment Site	Treatments	Weed density (no m <sup>-2</sup> )		Dry Matter percent (DM %)	Weed Control Efficiency Percent (WCE %)	
		Grasses	Broad leaved		Grasses	Broad Leaved
site 1	W <sub>1</sub>	83.7	47.7	71.2	0	0
	W <sub>2</sub>	39.6	11.8	53.9	52.7	75.3
	W <sub>3</sub>	3.9	13.0	52.5	95.3	72.8
	W <sub>4</sub>	0.3	6.3	34.2	99.6	86.7
site 2	W <sub>1</sub>	136.2	41.5	72.9	0	0
	W <sub>2</sub>	83.0	13.3	62.6	39.1	67.9
	W <sub>3</sub>	2.5	13.7	54.1	98.1	66.9
	W <sub>4</sub>	0.8	6.8	36.2	99.4	83.6

W<sub>1</sub>: un weeded (control) W<sub>2</sub> :( Ametryne 3.8 L/ha + Atrazine 3.8 L/ha), W<sub>3</sub> :( Atrazine 3.0 L/ha + pendimethalin 2.9 L/ha) and W<sub>4</sub> :(Metribuzin 5.21 L/ha + pendimethalin 3.57 L/ha).

### **Effects of chemical herbicides on growth, yield and quality of sugarcane:**

Results showed that all herbicides treatments significantly differ in plant height (cm), number of millable stalks ( $\times 1000 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) and cane yield ( $\text{tc ha}^{-1}$ ) compared to the control (Table 4). Among different treatments, the highest cane length was recorded at **W<sub>4</sub>** (214.8–209.0 cm) compared to the other treatments in two sites. The number of millable canes is one of the most important parameters in cane cultivation deciding the final cane yield. Among different treatments, the highest cane length values (132.9–122.0  $\times 1000$ ) recorded significantly at **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment compared to the other treatments **and the control**. Regarding to cane yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ), the application of **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment recorded the highest cane yield values (119.9–122.0  $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ) followed by the other treatments **W<sub>3</sub>** and **W<sub>2</sub>**, respectively in the two sites. With respect to cane quality parameters, there was no significant difference between herbicide treatments on all cane quality parameters except for sugar yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ). For sugar yield ( $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$ ) **W<sub>4</sub>** treatment recorded the highest cane yield (11.2–12.0  $\text{tc ha}^{-1}$ ) followed by the other treatments **W<sub>3</sub>**, **W<sub>2</sub>** and respectively in the two irrespective to the site. These results achieved may be due to the importance of chemical herbicides application in weed control in sugarcane fields which result in increasing cane and sugar yield. The results are in line with those of Devi *et al.*, (2010) who reported that application of Metribuzin was effective in controlling weeds and had favorable influence on growth, yield and quality of sugarcane. Also these results similar to those of Pratap *et al.*, (2013) concluded that application of Metribuzin herbicide was found most effective in controlling weeds of sugarcane ratoon crop which result in increasing cane yield. Regarding to the phytotoxic, the tested herbicides showed visible phytotoxic effects on sugarcane plants. The combination of **W<sub>2</sub>** treatment was causes phytotoxic effect on the sugarcane

throughout the primary 4 weeks after application of herbicides. These effects were reduced throughout 8 and 12 weeks after application.

The experimental results from Table (3) showed that there was a significant difference of means between treatments in all growth parameters; (plant height, no of tillers/m<sup>2</sup> and no of internodes) at 3 , 6 and 9 month ages. The newly combination of chemical herbicides Treatment **W<sub>4</sub>** recorded the highest values in plant height, no of tillers/m<sup>2</sup> and no of internodes at 3, 6 and 9 months age compared to the old recommended chemical herbicides and the un weeded (control) treatment in the two different experimental sites for plant cane experiment.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 3.** Effects of various chemical herbicides on plantcane growth parameters

Site	Treatments	Plant height (cm)			No of tillers/m <sup>2</sup>			No of internodes		
		Monthly								
		3	6	9	3	6	9	3	6	9
One	W <sub>1</sub>	28.4b	57.0c	164.2c	9.8b	12.0b	14.6b	0.0a	2.0b	11.0b
	W <sub>2</sub>	31.4b	60.6bc	169.4bc	10.8ab	12.8ab	15.8a	0.0a	3.4a	12.4ab
	W <sub>3</sub>	47.8a	72.6a	174.8b	11.8a	13.2a	15.8ab	0.0a	3.0ab	12.2a
	W <sub>4</sub>	48.0a	75.8a	185.8a	12.2a	14.0a	16.0a	0.0a	4.0a	13.2a
	Mean	38.9	66.5	173.6	11.2	13.0	15.4	0.0	3.1	12.2
	CV%	17.0	13.4	2.8	12.1	10.2	4.9	0.0	2.3	10.6
	LSD(0.05)	9.1	12.2	6.8	1.9	1.8	1.0	0.0	1.3	1.8
Two	W <sub>1</sub>	31.4b	62.6c	171.6c	8.6b	12.0 b	14.0 b	0.0 a	3.2a	9.2b
	W <sub>2</sub>	36.4b	66.0bc	178.4b	9.6ab	12.8 ab	15.6ab	0.0 a	3.4a	11.0a
	W <sub>3</sub>	51.0a	78.0ab	184.0b	9.8ab	12.9 ab	15.4 ab	0.0 a	3.6a	10.6ab
	W <sub>4</sub>	52.0a	81.2a	193.8a	10.2a	14.6a	16.0 a	0.0 a	4.2a	11.8a
	Mean	42.7	72.0	182.0	9.6	12.5	15.3	0.0	3.6	10.7
	CV%	12.3	12.7	2.6	11.4	7.5	5.3	0.0	2.8	11.3
	LSD(0.05)	7.3	12.6	6.4	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.0	1.1	1.7

W<sub>1</sub>:Unweeded (control), W<sub>2</sub>:Ametryne3.8 L/ha + Atrazine 3.8 L/ha,W<sub>3</sub>:Atrazine 3.0 L/ha + Pendimethalin2.9 L/ha and W<sub>4</sub>:Metribuzin5.21 L/ha + Pendimethalin3.6 L/ha.

**Table 4.** Effects of various chemical herbicides on yield and quality of plantcane crop

Parameters	Site one				LSD (0.05)	Site two				LSD (0.05)
	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>3</sub>	W <sub>4</sub>		W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>3</sub>	W <sub>4</sub>	
Cane length (cm)	154.3 <sup>d</sup>	170.6 <sup>c</sup>	198.0 <sup>b</sup>	214.8 <sup>a</sup>	11.9	159.6 <sup>b</sup>	161.3 <sup>b</sup>	196.4 <sup>a</sup>	209.0 <sup>a</sup>	18.9
Cane diameter (cm)	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.1	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.2
Millable stalks (x1000 ha <sup>-1</sup> )	64.3 <sup>b</sup>	86.3 <sup>b</sup>	94.5 <sup>ab</sup>	132.9 <sup>a</sup>	45.9	63.1 <sup>c</sup>	63.7 <sup>c</sup>	93.9 <sup>b</sup>	111.3 <sup>a</sup>	14.3
Cane yield (tc ha <sup>-1</sup> )	89.9 <sup>c</sup>	72.0 <sup>c</sup>	96.1 <sup>b</sup>	119.9 <sup>a</sup>	18.3	70.1 <sup>c</sup>	76.3 <sup>b</sup>	101.9 <sup>ab</sup>	122.0 <sup>a</sup>	26.0
Pol % cane	12.5 <sup>b</sup>	12.7 <sup>ab</sup>	12.7 <sup>ab</sup>	12.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.3	12.6 <sup>a</sup>	12.6 <sup>a</sup>	12.6 <sup>a</sup>	12.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.4
Purity% cane	88.6 <sup>ab</sup>	8.4 <sup>ab</sup>	88.2 <sup>b</sup>	89.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.1	89.0 <sup>a</sup>	89.1 <sup>a</sup>	87.2 <sup>a</sup>	89.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.5
Fiber% cane	18.6 <sup>a</sup>	18.4 <sup>a</sup>	18.8 <sup>a</sup>	18.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.8	18.7 <sup>a</sup>	18.4 <sup>a</sup>	18.7 <sup>a</sup>	18.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.5
Sugar yield (ts ha <sup>-1</sup> )	7.7 <sup>b</sup>	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	11.2 <sup>a</sup>	10.9 <sup>a</sup>	1.8	6.7 <sup>c</sup>	7.3 <sup>c</sup>	9.7 <sup>b</sup>	12.0 <sup>a</sup>	2.0

The manuscript has a poor scientific quality related to the discussion of the results; therefore, I suggest that the authors increase the scientific quality by discussing the results with scientific research already published. I suggest adding a couple of paragraphs like this:

Formatted: Highlight

Our results establish that plant nutrition and weed control are key in the development of this crop, as established by the results of [Olivares and Hernandez, 2020; Olivares et al. 2020] in tropical zones comparable to our study area. Historically, Sugarcane Research Center at EL Guneid area is a tropical arid zone and has a predominantly hot climate, however, the average monthly precipitation has varied over the years, with a decrease in precipitation in the summer, this can be evidence in the results of [Olivares and Hernandez, 2019a; Olivares and Hernandez, 2019b; Casana and Olivares, 2020; Bertorelli and Olivares, 2020].

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

It is important to point out that the poor soil moisture condition causes delays in the growth of sugar cane and other types of crops such as winter cereals and even in tropical territories it delays sowing, as established by studies [Olivares et al. 2013; Olivares and Zingaretti, 2018; 2019]. The water potentials that occur in soils during periods of drought prevent water absorption [Olivares et al. 2017; Cortez et al. 2019; Olivares, 2016; Olivares et al. 2018], which affects the sequence of events involved in the germination and growth process [Olivares et al. 2018; Olivares, 2018; Cortez et al. 2018].

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

In summary, water stress, weed control associated with sowing dates is, in terms of the amount of plant material affected, the most important thing that this type of crop such as sugar cane can suffer. In this sense, investigations carried out in tropical territories such as [Cortez et al. 2016; Paredes and Olivares, 2018; Olivares et al. 2017] have indicated that the stress caused by drought limits the productivity of these plants and that the intensity of their response depends on the severity and duration of the stress, and the state of crop development. That is why the search for planting dates and varieties tolerant to water stress is one of the ways considered by researchers and producers to take advantage of areas where droughts are frequent or the necessary water supply is not available [Olivares et al. 2016; Olivares et al. 2017]. Given the intense drought and the scarcity of water resources that have been occurring in the study site that have forced to limit the areas dedicated to cultivation, our study emphasized the need and relevance of studies on the effects of weed control.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

It could be concluded that, the newly recommended herbicide mixture of (Metribuzin+pendimethalin) recorded the best results in weed control efficiency percent (WCE%) for both grass and broadleaved weeds and gave the highest cane and sugar yield compared to the old recommended herbicide mixture of (Ametryne+Atrazine) and (Atrazine+Pendimethalin) and the control.

#### **REFERENCES**

I suggest adding the following scientific publications that will improve the scientific quality of the manuscript:

Abusin R.M.A., Eltayeb A.H., Hassan M.M., Khalil N.A., Elmunsor I.I. and Babiker A.G.T. In vitro Studies of Imazethapyr and GR24 Effects on Early Developmental Stages of *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth., *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research* 1(4): 1-7. (2017).

Adam, E.A. Amna, O.M. Alam –Eldin, A.O. An assessment of mechanical vs manual harvesting of the sugarcane in Sudan- the case of Sennar Sugar Factory. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* 14 (2): 160-166(2015).

Anonymous., Status Paper on Sugarcane. Directorate of Sugarcane Development Govt. of India, Ministry of Agriculture.8 p(2013).

[Bertorelli M, Olivares BO. Population fluctuation of \*Spodoptera frugiperda\* \(J.E. Smith\) \(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae\) in sorghum cultivation in Southern Anzoategui, Venezuela. \*Journal of Agriculture University of Puerto Rico.\* \(2020\); 104\(1\):1-16. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.46429/jaupr.v104i1.18283>](#)

[Casana S, Olivares B. Evolution and trend of surface temperature and windspeed \(1994 - 2014\) at the Parque Nacional Doñana, Spain. \*Rev. Fac. Agron. \(LUZ\).\* \(2020\); 37\(1\):1-25. Doi: <https://n9.cl/xkfb>](#)

[Cortez A, Olivares B, Parra M, Lobo D, Rey JC, Rodríguez MF. Systematization of the calculation of the Standardized Precipitation Index as a methodology to generate meteorological drought information. \*Rev. Fac. Agron. \(LUZ\).\* \(2019\); 36\(2\):209-223. Doi: <https://n9.cl/z9k1b>](#)

[Cortez A, Olivares B, Parra R, Lobo D, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC. Descripción de los eventos de sequía meteorológica en localidades de la cordillera central, Venezuela. \*Ciencia, Ingenierías y Aplicaciones.\* \(2018\); I \(1\):22-44. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22206/cyap.2018.v1i1.pp23-45>](#)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Cortez A, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC, Ovalles F, González W, Parra R, Olivares B, Marquina J. Variabilidad espacio temporal de la precipitación en el estado Guárico, Venezuela. *Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ)*. (2016); 33 (3): 292-310. Doi: <https://n9.cl/pmdck>

Dawelbeit, S.E.; Salih, F.M.; Dahab, O.A., and Ahmed, E.H. Status of fertilization and crop nutrition in irrigated agriculture in Sudan: *Fertilizer use in Sudan. Research Findings: e-ific No.22, International Potash Institute*. (2010). [https://www.ipipotash.org/udocs/eifc\\_no22-rf1.pdf](https://www.ipipotash.org/udocs/eifc_no22-rf1.pdf).

Devi, T.C., Bharathalakshimi, M; Kumari, M.B.G.S. and Naidu, N.V. Managing Weeds of sugarcane ratoon through integrated means. *Indian Journal of Sugarcane Technology* 25: 13-16. (2010).

Gafar N.Y., Hassan M.M., Ahmed M.M., Osman A.G., Abdelgani M.E. and Babiker A.G.T. In vitro study of endophytic bacteria and carbohydrates and their combination on early developmental stages of *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 10(6): 66-74. (2016).

Kendu, R., M. Mondal, S.Garia, R.Podder and Benerjee, S. Efficiency of herbicide against broad-spectrum weed floras and their effect on non target soil micro-organisms and productivity in sugarcane (*Saccharum sp.*). *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, (2020), 39(2):23-32.

Mishra, S.S., Mishra, K.N and Nayak, P.K. Effect of different weed Management practices on yield of sugarcane ratoon. *Indian Journal of Sugarcane Technology*. 27 (2): 76-78. 2012.

Olivares B, Hernández R. Application of multivariate techniques in the agricultural land's aptitude in Carabobo, Venezuela. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems*. (2020); 23(2):1-12. Doi: <https://n9.cl/zeedh>

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic

Olivares B, Hernandez R, Arias A, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Eco-territorial adaptability of tomato crops for sustainable agricultural production in Carabobo, Venezuela. *Idesia*. (2020); 38(2):95-102. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-34292020000200095>

Olivares, B, Hernández R. Ecoterritorial sectorization for the sustainable agricultural production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Carabobo, Venezuela. *Agricultural Science and Technology*. (2019a); 20(2): 339-354. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol20num2art:1462>

Olivares B, Hernández R. Análisis regional de zonas homogéneas de precipitación en Carabobo, Venezuela. *Revista Lasallista de Investigación*. (2019b); 16(2):90-105. Doi: 10.22507/rli.v16n2a9

Olivares B, Torrealba J, Caraballo L. Variability of the precipitation regime in the period 1990-2009 in the location of El Tigre, Anzoátegui state, Venezuela. *Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ)*. (2013); 30 (1): 19-32. Doi: <https://n9.cl/mic0l>

Olivares B, Zingaretti ML. Application of multivariate methods for the characterization of meteorological drought periods in Venezuela. *Revista Luna Azul*. (2019); 48, 172:192. Doi: 10.17151/luaz.2019.48.10

Olivares B, Zingaretti ML. Análisis de la sequía meteorológica en cuatro localidades agrícolas de Venezuela mediante la combinación de métodos multivariados. *UNED Research Journal*. (2018); 10 (1):181-192. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22458/urj.v10i1.2026>

Olivares B, Cortez A, Parra R, Lobo D, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC. Evaluation of agricultural vulnerability to drought weather in different locations of Venezuela. *Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ)*. (2017); 34 (1): 103-129. Doi: <https://n9.cl/hc5xs>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Olivares B. Descripción del manejo de suelos en sistemas de producción agrícola del sector Hamaca de Anzoátegui, Venezuela. *La Granja: Revista de Ciencias de la Vida*. (2016). 23(1): 14-24. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n23.2016.02>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Olivares B, Hernández R, Coelho R, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Analysis of climate types: Main strategies for sustainable decisions in agricultural areas of Carabobo, Venezuela. *Scientia Agropecuaria*. (2018); 9(3): 359 – 369. Doi: [10.17268/sci.agropecu.2018.03.07](https://doi.org/10.17268/sci.agropecu.2018.03.07)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Olivares B, Hernández R, Arias A, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Zonificación agroclimática del cultivo de maíz para la sostenibilidad de la producción agrícola en Carabobo, Venezuela. *Revista Universitaria de Geografía*. (2018); 27 (2): 139-159. Doi: <https://n9.cl/ah6c>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Olivares B. Tropical conditions of seasonal rain in the dry-land agriculture of Carabobo, Venezuela. *La Granja: Journal of Life Sciences*. (2018); 27(1):86-102. <http://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n27.2018.07>

Olivares B, Parra R, Cortez A. Characterization of precipitation patterns in Anzoátegui state, Venezuela. *Ería*. (2017); 3 (3): 353-365. Doi: <https://n9.cl/llwyx>

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Olivares B, Cortez A, Rodríguez M, Parra R, Lobo D, Rey JC. Análisis temporal de la sequía meteorológica en localidades semiáridas de Venezuela. *UGCiencia*. (2016); 22 (1):11-24. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.18634/ugcj.22v.1i.481>

Olivares B, Lobo D, Cortez A, Rodríguez MF, Rey JC. Socio-economic characteristics and methods of agricultural production of indigenous community Kashaama, Anzoátegui, Venezuela. *Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ)*. (2017); 34 (2): 187-215. Doi: <https://n9.cl/clm17>

[Paredes-Trejo F, Olivares B. El desafío de la sequía en Venezuela. En: Núñez Cobo, J. y Verbist, K. \(Eds.\). Atlas de Sequía de América Latina y el Caribe. \(2018\); \(pp.127-136\). Francia: UNESCO.](#)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Plews R W. The history of ISUMSA, the first 100 years 1897-1997 Berlin Verlag Albert Bartens KG, ISB 0-905003-15-2(1997).

Pratap, T., Singh, R., Pal, R., Yadav, S and Singh, V. Integrated weed management Studies in sugarcane ratoon. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 45 (4): 257-259.(2013).

Rana, S.S.Evaluation of promising herbicide combinations for weed management in Rajmash under dry temperte conditions of Himadialpradesh. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, 37: 204-207.(2002)

Singh R; Kumar J; Kumar P; Pratap T; Singh V.K; Pal, R. and Panwar, S.Effect of Integrated weed management practices on sugarcane ratoon and associated weeds *Indian J. Weed Science* 44:144-146(2012).

Singh, H., N. Kumar, and D.K. Dwivedi. Efficacy of some new herbicides on weed dynamics and yield of sugarcane. *Indian Sugar*, LVIII (9): 71-74.2008

Yadav, S. P., R. K. Singh, D.K.Yadav and H.Nayak. Influence of weed management projects on growth and nutrient uptake of spring planted sugarcane in Eastern UttraParadesh India. *Inter. J. of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(7); 576-582. (2020).