

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_95709
Title of the Manuscript:	ENERGY INTENSITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA: A DYNAMIC PANEL APPROACH.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The study examined the impact of energy intensity in sub-Saharan Africa. The study broke sub-Saharan Africa into regional bloc since there may be policy variation across the regional bloc of SSA. A dynamic panel data approach was employed to unravel the relationship between energy intensity and economic growth. The study conducted a panel unit root Test to confirm the stationarity of the series. The rationale for negative correlation might be connected to common patterns of structural change, paired with rising after tax energy price. This study recommend that energy conservation should be a focus in SSA as a whole and its regional bloc since this would promote economic growth.</p> <p>This study manuscript important for scientific community. Abstract of the paper is comprehensive and structure of the manuscript appropriate. However, the research results are still few, not enough general information about energy intensity, not to take into account the uncertain factors (diseases, natural disasters, abnormal increase in energy prices, ...) to the research object.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The paper should add more research on the influence of uncertainties on energy intensity and energy consumption.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Trinh Trong Chuong
Department, University & Country	Hanoi University of Industry, Vietnam