

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_95709
Title of the Manuscript:	ENERGY INTENSITY AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA: A DYNAMIC PANEL APPROACH.
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The paper looks at Economic Growth in 48 Sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries and 5 regions from 1970 to 2019 using a dynamic panel approach. It assumes a homogenic population when testing energy intensity and energy price. It uses Natural Resource &amp; Economic Growth Theory (REXS) as a means to test this relationship. It uses several acronyms without explain them and gives the level of significance in Table 1 which should be reversed. Several citations in the Literature Review are duplicated and at least one is missing from the text – Mandelli et al 2014 -. One variable it does not mention is population increase. Between 1970 and 2019, the population in SSA increased 3.8 times from 281 million to 1,027 million (Population Pyramid 2022). This is most important when considering increase in energy consumption! This increase was not homogenic as assumed in the text (Population Pyramid 2022). Also, by just using Price as a variable, it excludes the subsistence sector which is dependent on collecting fuel and producing its own food.</p> <p>I Have been involved in energy surveys in 18 SSA countries and in all of them, renewable energy, especially fuelwood and charcoal, constitutes over 60% of total energy consumption.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Keith Openshaw
Department, University & Country	Sokoine University, Tanzania