

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_97515</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PREDICTION OF THE UNEMPLOYMENT RATE IN INDONESIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Social-Economic Study</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>*A conceptual framework that captures variables of interest and any intervening and/or moderating variables would make the manuscript more scholarly.</p> <p>*This is a longitudinal study that may have failed to capture Indonesian citizens in the Diaspora and those who are/were self-employed as farmers, fishermen, etc.</p> <p>*Can it not be opined that Covid-19 simply exacerbated the unemployment situation, but going forward other factors may come into play making it impossible to use the suggested predictive models with any amount of accuracy?</p> <p>*The selected analytical models carry residual errors of magnitudes – are they suitable models for predicting the future? Would neuro-networks have made any difference?</p> <p>*Paraphrase excerpts from other authors' central assertions and critique the same in order to create own positionality and develop a viable underpinning argument.</p> <p>* Statement of the problem should be distinct and in question form.</p> <p>*What does this study add to the current body of knowledge – that pandemics result in unemployment or is it confirming the obvious?</p> <p>Consider change the title to read: "Accuracy of predicting the unemployment rate in Indonesia", Or "Pandemics result in acute unemployment".</p> <p>*The abstract fails to delineate the employment status prior to, during and after the Covid-19 pandemic, making it difficult to discern possible causative variables.</p> <p>*Including analytical tools should be methodology as part of the abstract.</p> <p>*Important key words are missing, e.g. Covid-19, etc.</p> <p>Appropriate subsections developed.</p> <p>Scientifically contestable – the selected analytical tools carry residual errors which could be substantial in scale and scope and hence fail to provide accurate prediction of the future outlook.</p> <p>Adequate referencing provided and is in accordance with an international standard for publishing.</p> <p>Read the following more focused study: The impact of COVID-19 on industries without smokestacks in Kenya The case of horticulture, ICT, and tourism sectors, by E. Onsomu et al. .</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Acceptable.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>*Secondary data employed: Test for collinearity.</p> <p>*Was the validity of the study tested?</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elijah W Chindia
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya