

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_97515
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTION OF THE UNEMPLOYMENT RATE IN INDONESIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The authors could describe in more detail the uncertain economic conditions, as well as the current measures taken by the government to reduce unemployment rates. The authors could discuss whether the current government measures against unemployment in the post-covid-19 period in Indonesia are correct, as they lead to a drop in the unemployment rate. This discussion would make the study more important to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, but this part of the results is not present in the discussion section: "The highest unemployment rates were among people with a high school education. Moreover, among those with a college degree. Recent college and vocational school graduates are having difficulty obtaining employment". This part must be included in the discussions.</p> <p>4. The subsections are appropriate, but the discussion section could be improved, discussing whether the current government measures against unemployment in the post-covid-19 period in Indonesia are appropriate, as they lead to a drop in the unemployment rate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The method section allows the replication of the study and tests the validity and reliability of the analysis procedures.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some spelling and grammar errors: In the abstract, the words "utilises" and "Utilising" are misspelling. In the citation "Samuel et al research ."s" the year is missing and there is a full stop in the wrong place. The citation "(Pavlopoulos & Chkalova, 2022.; (Barbieri Góes & Gallo, 2021)" needs to be corrected. Figure 2 legends need to be translated. There is another unnecessary full stop in the phrase: "Table 2. Shows that the p-value is 0.9998..." In some paragraphs, there are phrases repeating arguments: "The ARIMA method is implemented for short-term forecasting. The ARIMA approach forecasts without using independent variables. This is because ARIMA uses past and present values of the dependant variable to make accurate short-term predictions of these variables. Time series data are used by the ARIMA approach. The purpose of the ARIMA model is to forecast."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript addresses a relevant topic, but could go beyond predicting unemployment rates, seeking cause and effect relationships to generate more information for planning social and economic policies.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agnaldo Keiti Higuchi
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri, Brasil