

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMMR_98334   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ANALYSIS OF THE CONSUMPTION OF SELECTIVE SEROTONIN REUPTAKE INHIBITORS (SSRIS) AND TRICYCLIC ANTIDEPRESSANTS (TCA), BEFORE AND DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, IN COMMUNITY PHARMACIES IN BRAZIL |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>1. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>2. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>3. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>4. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Yes, it is absolutely important.<br><br>Yes, suitable!<br><br>Yes.<br><br>Yes, appropriate!<br><br>Yes, correct and solid.<br><br>Sufficient and appropriate! |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  | Suitable! Excellent language!   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | A few typos only.   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Goetz Egloff</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Institute for Prenatal Psychology and Medicine, Germany</b> |