

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98334
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF THE CONSUMPTION OF SELECTIVE SEROTONIN REUPTAKE INHIBITORS (SSRIS) AND TRICYCLIC ANTIDEPRESSANTS (TCA), BEFORE AND DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, IN COMMUNITY PHARMACIES IN BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Retrospective study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	-The manuscript is highly important to the community as increase prevalence of psychological disorders (depression) in the pandemic of covid-19 -Yes but I suggesst to be "ANALYSIS OF THE CONSUMPTION OF ANTIDEPRESSANTS , BEFORE AND DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC AT BRAZILIAN PHARMACIES" -The abstract is well formed - Yes , the manuscript is highly organized - The researchers follow scientific approache - The structure of the manuscript is appropriate - The references are appropriate and suffient	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is highly efficient and I suggest to publish	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enas Mahrous Abdelaziz
Department, University & Country	College of Nursing, Jouf University, Egypt