Influence of different Levels of NAA and 2, 4, 5-T on fruit drop, fruiting, fruit retention and growth of Indian ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.)

Abstract

The experiment was carried out at Horticulture Garden, Department of Fruit Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) during the November 2020- March 2021 and November 2021- March 2022. Sixteen treatments viz. four levels of NAA (0, 20, 30 and 40 ppm) and 2,4,5-T (0, 10, 20 and 30ppm) were studies in a Factorial Completely Randomized Design with three replications. Spraying was done on eleventh November, 2020 in first year and fifteenth November, 2021 in second year at fruit setting stage (Pea Stage) with fine nozzle sprayer in each treatment to give uniform spray on all over the treatment of ber plant. Application of (NAA@40 ppm and 2,4,5-T@30 ppm) significantly maximized initial fruit set (162.00 and 163.66), maximum fruit retention (20.39 and 20.43 percent) and minimum fruit drop percentage (79.60 and 79.56 per cent). The length and width of fruit was significantly (5.35 and 4.99 cm) and (4.24 and 4.27 cm) respectively increased by application same concentration mention above. The maximum (36.62 and 36.82 g) fruit weight wasrecorded under treatment (NAA@40ppm and 2,4,5-T@30ppm). The minimum stone length (0.88 and 0.87 cm), minimum stone diameter (0.88 and 0.87 cm), minimum stone weight (0.65 and 0.66 gm) significantly found under (NAA@40 ppm and 2,4,5-T@30 ppm) during both the years of experiment.

Keywords: 2,4,5-T, Fruit, Stone, NAA, Length, Width, Fruiting.

Introduction

Ber has changed scenario of horticulture both in arid and semi arid regions significantly. At present rainfed ber orchards are seen at all the places across the country. Ber (Zizyphus mauritiana Lamk.), a member of family Rhamnaceae, is one of the ancient and common fruits of Indo-China region and has been grown in Indian subcontinent since times immemorial for fresh fruits. In fact it was one of the prominent fruits on which sages in ancient India lived during Vedic ages.Ber is known to be indigenous to the area stretching from India to South western China and Malaya [1]. The genus Zizyphus consists of over 100 species, out of which 18-40 species are found to be grown in India. Ber is evergreen shrub or small tree up to 15 m high, with trunk 40 cm or more in diameter, spreading crown, stipular spines and many drooping branches. The tree is associated with Lord Shiva, whose worship is considered incomplete without offering of jujube fruit, especially during Mahashivaratri. Although the research work on these aspects is being carried out by various research workers throughout India yet the detailed information on the effects of growth regulator sprays on fruit drop,fruiting, fruit retention and growth of ber is lacking and there is still no recommendation keeping the above facts in view, the present study has been planned.

Materials and Methods

The well established healthy and uniform trees of ber cultivar Banarasi Karaka were selected for the purpose of experimentation. The trees were about 48 years old and were properly maintained by adopting proper horticultural practices and recommended dose of Plant Hormones During the course of investigation, the whole orchard was kept under clean and uniform cultural practices. The plant growth regulator was sprayed on branch of each experimental tree. For preparation of NAA stock solution one gram NAA was dissolved in appropriate alcohol and adding water that was converted in one liter. Thus, stock solution was prepared. For obtaining 20 ppm solution of

NAA 20 ml solution of NAA was taken out from stock solution and with adding water, 1000 ml solution was prepared. Thus, it was obtained 20 ppm NAA solution. Further 40 ppm NAA solution was prepared as similar method. Similarly, stock solution of (2,4,5-T) was made and from this stock solution 10 ppm, 20ppm and 30 ppm (2,4,5-T) solution were prepared as per above method. Spraying was done on 11th November, 2020 in first year and 15th November, 2021 in second year at fruit setting stage (Pea Stage) with fine nozzle sprayer in each treatment to give uniform spray on all over the treatment of ber plant.

Results and Discussion

1.1 Initial fruit set

Regarding different NAA and 2,4,5-T concentrations on initial fruit set of fruit are an expression of fruiting parameters of the plants which was influenced by NAA and 2,4,5-T growth regulators over control. It is obviously appeared with vision of the data that all the concentration of NAA significantly influenced to fruit sets in ber trees. Interactive effect of NAA and 2,4,5-T also influenced significantly on initial fruit set in ber but interactive treatment gave further improvement in fruit set was over main effect. The maximum of (162.00 and 163.66) initial fruit set was noted under N_3A_3 treatment (NAA@40 ppm and 2,4,5-T@30 ppm) treatment over interactive control i.e. N_0A_0 recorded (151.00 and 152.00) initial fruit set during both the years of experiments. The effect of NAA on plant growth is greatly dependent on the time of admission and concentration. NAA has been shown greatly increased in plant by exogenous application. Due to these causes fruit setting was enhanced in present investigation. These findings are in accordance with the reports of [2], [3], [4],[5]in ber, [6] in guava. The 2,4,5-T probably might be due to providing of right concentration of 2,4,5-T during investigation causing enhancement of vegetative growth of the plants hastening the production of more photosynthesis towards the fruit bearing area which contributed to increase fruit set in plant. These findings are collaborated with the reports of [7]in ber, [8] in litchi, [9] in mango, [10], [11] in ber.

1.2 Fruit retention (%)

The fruit retention was influenced by various treatments of NAA and 2,4,5- T in present investigation. The combination effect of NAA and 2,4,5-T induced significant variation on fruit retention and its interactive treatment of N_3A_3 significantly maximized (20.39 and 20.43 percent) fruit retention closely followed by N_2A_3 (18.90 and 19.67 percent) over control i.e. N_0A_0 of (9.61 and 9.67 percent) during both the years of experiments. The exogenous application of NAA might have actto prevention of abscission layer and thus, retention of fruit is increased. These findings are in line with the reports of [12], [13], [14] in ber and [15] in litchi,[16] in kinnow, [17] in aonla, [6] in guava and [18] in aonla. The increase in fruit retention might be due to effective of different chemicals as well as 2, 4, 5-T on metabolic activity of the plant and improved source sink relationship with favorably influenced the metabolic status resulting in better check of fruit drop and enhancing retention of the more number of fruits on the plants. The findings are in agreement with the reports of [7] in ber, [8] in litchi, [9] in mango, [10] in ber, [11] in ber.

1.3 Fruit drop (%)

The fruit drop in ber fruit was significantly influenced with the sprays of NAA and 2,4,5-T treatments in ber fruits. The NAA and 2,4,5-T brought about significant treatment variation on fruit drop and of N_3A_3 expressed significantly minimum of (79.60 and 79.56 percent) fruit drop closely followed by N_2A_3 (81.09 and 80.32 percent). Significantly maximum of (82.16 and 81.43 percent) fruit drop was exhibited under control (N_0A_0) during both the years of experiments. The application of NAA might have increased the concentration of auxin in plants which possibly

induced to reduction of fruit drop. These findings are in line with the reports of [19], [20], [4] in ber and [21] in mango. The induction in fruit drop was 2,4,5-T sprays possibly increased auxin synthesis which may cause to prevent fruit drop. These findings are collaborated with the reports of [16] in kinnow, [17], [18] in aonla, [6] in guava,[19] in ber, [8] in litchi, [9] in mango, [10], [11] in ber.

1.4 Length of fruit (cm)

The interactive effect of NAA and 2,4,5-T did differ significantly but further improvement was observed over mean values and combined treatment of N_3A_3 (NAA @40 ppm and 2,4,5-T@30 ppm) recorded maximum of (5.35 and 4.99 cm) length against the minimum of (2.62 and 2.69 cm) fruit length was expressed under control (N_0A_0) during both the years of experiment. Enhancement range on length of fruit was fruits indicated caused by NAA treatment might be due to its involvement in cell division, cell elongation and decreased volume of intracellular space in the monocarpic cells which could have boosted plant health there by producing healthy and larger fruit NAA increase the growth rate of fruit which results a bigger fruit size ultimately. These findings are in line with reports of [17] in aonla, [6] in guava and [18] in aonla, [22], [23], [7]in ber, [24] in aonla and [25] in mango. The increase in size of fruits with application of 2,4,5-T might be due to significantly increase in cell division and cell elongation also associated with active performance of Photosynthesis in the plant and photosynthetes were translocated to the fruits which caused possibly to increase in fruit size. These findings are collaborated with the reports of [26] in sweet lime, [27], [28] in guava, [29] in sweet lime.

1.5 Width of fruit (cm)

The fruit width of ber was significantly induced with NAA and 2,4,5-T combination was found to be significant. Combined treatment of N_3A_3 (NAA@40 ppm and 2,4,5-T@30ppm) induced significantly maximum (4.24 and 4.27 cm) width of fruit while the minimum (2.63 and 2.66 cm) width of fruit was presented with control (N_0A_0) during both years of experiments. The diameter of fruit was enhanced due to sprays of NAA treatments might be due to its involvement in cell division, cell elongation which ultimately induced to width of fruits. These findings are in agreement with the reports of [17] in aonla, [18] in aonla, [30], [7], [31], [23] in ber and [24] in aonla. The different concentration of 2,4,5-T might be due to significantly increased cell division and cell elongation. This result may have associated with active performance of photosynthesis in the plant and they were translocated to the fruits which caused to increase in fruit size. These finding are in line with reports of [26] in sweet lime, [27], [28] in guava, [29] in sweet lime.

1.6 Fruit Weight (gm)

The effect of foliar sprays of NAA and 2,4,5-T positively influenced on fruit weight of ber. The significant variation was observed in interactive treatments of NAA and 2,4,5-T its N_3A_3 (NAA@40ppm and 2,4,5-T@30ppm) treatment induced to the maximum of (36.62 and 36.82 g) fruit weight closely followed by N_2A_3 (NAA@30ppm and 2,4,5-T@30ppm) expressed (30.56 and 31.01 g) fruit weight. Significantly poorest (25.55 and 25.55 g) fruit weight was recorded under control (N_0A_0) during both the years of experiment. The growth regulator NAA might have improved the synthesis of more photosynthetes and their translocation to the fruits which may have increased the weight of fruits in present investigation. These result in conformity with those of [17] in aonla, Badal and Tripathi (2021b) in guava,[18] in aonla, [33], [34], [31] in ber and [21], [35] in mango. The improvement in fruit weight probably 2,4,5-T enhanced deposition of solids which increased in cell size by increasing the accumulation of water in intracellular space which might be enhanced to fruit weight. [26] in sweet lime, [27], [28] in guava, [29] in sweet lime.

1.7Stone Length (cm)

The length of stone was significantly enhanced was observed over mean values and combined treatment of N_3A_3 (NAA @ 40 ppm & 2,4,5-T @ 30 ppm) recorded minimum of (0.88 and 0.87 cm) cm length against the maximum of (2.70 and 2.79 cm) stone length was expressed under control (N_0A_0) during both the year of experiments. The superiority on length of fruits indicated caused by NAA treatment might be due to its involvement in cell division, cell elongation and decreased volume of intracellular space in the monocarpic cells which could have boosted plant health there by producing healthy and larger fruit NAA increase the growth rate of fruit which results a bigger fruit size ultimately small size of stone. These findings are in line with reports of[22], [23], [7] in ber, [24] in aonla and [25] in mango. The increase in size of fruits with application of 2,4,5-T might be due to significantly increase in cell division and cell elongation associated with active performance of Photosynthesis in the plant and photosynthetes were translocated to the fruits which caused possibly to increase in stone size. These findings are collaborated with the reports of [36] in ber, [27] in ber, [7], [37] in ber [38] in guava.

1.8Stone Diameter (cm)

The diameter of stone of ber was significantly inducedcombined treatment of N_3A_3 (NAA @ 40 ppm & 2,4,5-T @ 30 ppm) induced significantly minimum (0.73 and 0.70cm) diameter of stone closely followed by treatment N_2A_3 (0.75 and 0.73cm).These findings are in agreement with the reports of [30], [7], [31], [23] in ber and [24] in aonla. This result may have associated with active performance of photosynthesis in the plant and they were translocated to the stone which caused to increase in stone size [36] in ber, [27] in ber, [7], [37] in ber and [38] in guava.

1.9Stone Weight (gm)

The effect of foliar sprays of NAA and 2,4,5-T positively influenced on stone weight of ber with interactive treatments of NAA and 2,4,5-T its (NAA @ 40 ppm and 2,4,5-T @ 30 ppm) N_3A_3 treatment induced to the minimum of (0.65 and 0.66 gm) stone weight closely followed by N_2A_3 (NAA@20ppm and 2,4,5-T@30ppm) expressed (0.77 and 0.78gm) stone weight. Significantly maximum (1.80 and 1.77 gm) stone weight was recorded under control (N_0A_0) during both the years of experiments. Probably NAA enhanced deposition of solids which increased in cell size by increasing the accumulation of water in intracellular space which might be enhanced to stone weight. These findings are gets support to the reports of [33] in ber, [21], [35],[12] in ber. The 2,4,5-T result may have due to associated with active performance of photosynthesis in the plant and they were translocated to the stone which caused to increase in stone weight [36] in ber, [27] in ber, [7], [37] in ber and [38] in guava.

Conclusion

It may be concluded that the application of NAA and 2,4,5-T resulted in to flowering fruit drop, growth, yield of Indian ber with maximum fruit set and retention as well as yield attributing characters such as size of fruit (length and diameter), weight and volume of fruit which ultimately increased the yield per plant and thereby per hectare in both NAA @40ppm and 2,4,5-T@30ppm.

References:

1. **Vavilov, N. I.** The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivate crops. *Chronic Bot anica, Waltham mass,* U.S.A. (Translation from Russian selected writings); 1951.
2. **Sandhu, S.S. and Thind, S. S.** Effect of NAA sprayed on fruit set on general appearance and quality of Umran ber. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 1988;**45:** 274-282.
3. **Chaurasiya, Gangadhar R., Sharma, A., Tiwari, S., Goyal, G., Bhadauria, A.S., Singh, A.P., and Yadav, A.** Influence of foliar application of GA_3 with and without NAA

on fruit drop, growth, yield and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.* 2019;**8**(3): 45-56.

4. **Chaudhary, Raj Bala., Bairwa, L.N., Garhwal, O.P., and Negi, P.**Effect of plant growth regulators and nutrients on yield attributing characters and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*.2020; **9**(4): 1968-1972**.**
5. **Das, K. K., Yadav, P. K., Bhunia, S. R., and Singh R. S.** Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Flowering Parameters of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.*2020; **9**(3): 2684-2690.
6. **Badal, D. S. and Tripathi, V.K.** Influence of foliar feeding of NAA and Boronon growth, flowering, fruiting, and yield of winter season guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49.*Biological Forum-An International Journal.* 2021b; **13**(3): 87-391.
7. **Pandey, V.** Effect of NAA and GA_3 spray on fruit retention, growth, yield and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Orissa J. Hort.*1999; **27** (10): 69-73.
8. **Bhat, S.K., Raina, B.L., Chogtu, S.K. and Muthoo, A.K.** Effect of exogenous auxin application on fruit drop and cracking in litchi (*Litchi chinensis*Sonn) cv. Dehradun. *Advances-in-Plant Sciences.* 1997; **10** (1): 83-86.
9. **Maurya, A.N.; Singh, S.N. and A.R.** Effect of plants growth regulator on the fruit retention and quality of Dashehari mango. *Punjab Hort. J.*1973; **13**(2/3): 117-21.
10. **Kumar, S., Kumar, U. and Naresh, P.** Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Yield of Indian Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* L.) Fruit. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.*2017; **5**(1): 966-969.
11. **Singh and Sharma** Advancement and efficacy of plant growth regulators in Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana*Lamk) - *A review Journal of Applied and Natural Science,*2020; **12**(3): 372 – 379.
12. **Bankar, G.S. and Prasad, R.N.** Effect of gibberellic acid and NAA on fruit set and quality of fruit in ber cv. Gola. *Progressive Horticulture.*1990; **22**(1-4): 60-62.
13. **Ghosh, S. N., Bera, B., Kundu, A., and Roy, S. (2008).** Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit retention, yield and physico-chemical characteristics of fruits in Ber cv. Banarasi Karaka grown in close spacing. *Proceedings of 1st International Jujube Symposium*, pp. 18. *Agricultural University of Hebei*, Baoding, China.
14. **Singh, C. and Bal, J.S.** Effect of nutrients and growth regulators on physic-chemical characteristics of Indian Jujube (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Proceedings of 1st International Jujube Symposium*, pp. 49. *Agricultural University of Hebei*, Baoding, China; 2008.
15. **Chauhan, A. S., Kumar, K., Saini, P. K., Singh, V., and Singh, J. P.** Effect of NAA and Zinc Sulphate on Fruiting, Yield of Litchi (*Litchi chinensis*Sonn.) cv. Calcuttia. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2019;**8**(3): 836-843.
16. **Deepa, L., Tripathi, V.K., Nayyer, Md. Abu., Kumar, Sanjeev, Ahmed,M.and Siddiqui, M. W.** Pre-Harvest Spray of Gibberellic Acid, NAA, and Calcium Nitrate on Fruit Retention, Yield and Quality of Kinnow Mandarin. *Environment and Ecology.*2016**; 34** (4C): 2288-2292.
17. **Tiwari, P., Tripathi,V.K. and SinghA.** Effect of foliar application of plant bio-regulators and micronutrients on fruit retention, yield and quality attributes of aonla. *Progressive Research - An International Journal*.2017; **12** (Special-IV): 2565-2568.

18. **Tripathi, V. K. and Viveka Nand.** Effect of foliar application of Boron, Zinc and NAA on fruit retention, yield and quality attributes of aonla. *Progressive Horticulture*, 2022; **54**(1): 76-81.
19. **Pandey, A., Tripathi, V.K., Pandey, M., Mishra, A.N. and Kumar, D.**Influence of NAA, GA_3 and zinc sulphate on fruit drop, growth, yield and quality of ber cv. Banarasi Karaka. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Minor Fruits and Medicinal Plants for Health and Ecological Security (ISMF & MP), West Bengal, India, 19-22 December*. 2011; pp. 184-187.
20. **Naseem, S., Malik Mohsin, A., Noor-ul-Nisa, M. and Muhammad Afzal, J.** Comparative evaluation of naphthalene acetic acid and urea for preventing premature fruit drop and improving fruit yield and quality in ber cv. Suffon. *J. of Agri. Research.* 2016; **54**(1): 55-62.
21. **Haidry, G.A., Jalauddin, B., Ghaffoor, A. and Munir, M.** Effect of naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) on the fruit drop, yield and quality of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cultivar Langra. *Scientific-Khyber Pakistan.* 1997; **10**(1): 13-20.
22. **Meena, V., Eyarkai, N., Kashyap, P. and Meena, K.K.** Naphthalene acetic acid and ferrous sulphate induced changes in physico-chemical composition and shelf-life of ber. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2013;**70(**1): 37-42.
23. **Arora, R., and Singh, S.**Effect of growth regulators on quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* L.) cv. Umran. *Agricultural science digest-A Research journal*. 2014;**34**(2): 102-106.
24. **Rathod R.K., Ramdevputra, M.V., Jadeja S.R., Parmar, L. S. and Jivani L. L.** Effect of foliar application of micronutrients and growth regulator on fruit yield of aonla (*Emblica officinalis*). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*.2019; **8**(5): 133-137.
25. **Patil, A. S., Tidke, S. N., Tike, M. A., Shinde, B. N. and Gore, A. K.** Effect of chemicals and growth regulators on physical and chemical characters of Parbhani Bhushan mango. *Journal of Soils and Crops.*2005; **15**(1):76-79.
26. **Randhawa, G. S., Singh, J. P., and Dhuria, H. S.** Effect of Gibberellic Acid, 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid and 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid on Fruit set, Drop, Size and Total Yield in Sweet Lime *(Citrus Limettioides* Tanaka.). *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 1959; **16**(4): 206-209.
27. **Tripathi, D., Pandey, A. K., Pal, A. K., and Yadav, M. P.** Studies on effect of plant growth regulators on fruit drop, development, quality and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Progressive Horticulture*. 2009; **41**(2): 184-186.
28. **Brahmachari, V.S., Mandal, A.K., Kumar, R., Rani, R.** Effect of growth substances on flowering and fruiting characters of Sardar guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *Horticulture Journal.* 1996;**(9)**:1-7.
29. **Suman, M., Sangma, P. D., Meghawal, D. R., and Sahu, O. P.** Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit crops. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2017;**6(**2): 331-337.
30. **Singh, U.R. and Singh, N.** Effect of plant regulators on fruit drop, size and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) var. Banarasi. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences.*1976; (**5):** 1-8.
31. **Singh, K., Randhawa, J.S. and Singh, K.** Effect of growth regulators and fungicides on fruit drop, yield and quality of fruit in ber cv. Umran. *J. Res. PAU.* 2001;**38** (3-4): 181-185.

32. **Badal, D. S. and Tripathi, V.K.** Effect of foliar application of NAA and Boron on physico-chemical parameters of winter season guava *(Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lucknow-49. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021a; **10**(9): 928-932.
33. **Bal, J. S.; Singh, S. N.; Randhawa, J. S. and Sharma, S.C.** Effect of naphthalene acetic acid and tri-chlorophenoxy acetic acid on fruit drop, size and quality of ber. *Progressive Horticulture*.1982; **14** (2-3): 148-151.
34. **Bal, J. S., Singh, S. N. and Randhawa J. S.** Response of naphthalene acetic acid spray at fruit set and slow growth phase in ber fruits (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Punjab Agriculture University Journal of Research*. 1986; Vol**. (23):** 569-572.
35. **Singh, N.P., Malhi, C.S. and Sharma, R.C.** Effect of plant bio regulators (PBRs) on flowering, fruit yield and quality in mango cv. Dashehari. *Horticultural Journal.* 2005**18:** 10-12.
36. **Bal, J. S., Singh, S. N., Randhawa, J. S., and Jawanda, J. S.**Effect of Growth Regulators on Fruit Drop, Size and Quality of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 1984; **41**(3/4): 182-185.
37. **Ram, R.B., Pandey, S. and Kumar, A.** Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit retention, physico-chemical parameters and yield of ber cv. Banarasi karaka. *Biochemical and cellular Archive*. 2005; **5**(2):229-232.
38. **Kumar, R., Ram, D., Kumar, A., Kumar, R., Ojha, P., and Dayal, V.** Effect of micro nutrients and plant growth regulator on fruit setting of *Psidium guajava* L. cv. Lucknow-49. *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* 2022; **11**(11): 967-969.

Table-1.1: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on initial fruit set in ber

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	151.00	153.33	155.00	156.66	154.00	152.00	154.33	156.00	157.66	155.00
A_1 10	157.33	159.00	159.66	159.33	158.83	158.66	160.33	161.00	159.66	159.91
A_2 20	156.66	155.66	156.66	155.66	156.16	157.66	157.00	158.33	157.66	157.66
A_3 30	156.66	159.00	160.00	162.00	159.41	158.66	161.00	162.00	163.66	161.33
Mean A	155.41	156.75	157.83	158.41		156.75	158.16	159.33	159.66	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.44	0.44	0.88			0.65	0.65	1.30		
C.D.	1.27	1.27	2.55			1.88	1.88	3.76		
SE(d)	0.62	0.62	1.24			0.92	0.92	1.84		

Table-1.2: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on fruit retention in ber fruits (%)

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	9.61	10.31	10.86	11.22	10.50	9.67	10.39	10.90	11.26	10.55
A_1 10	11.59	12.12	12.59	13.17	12.36	11.63	12.16	12.67	13.27	12.43
A_2 20	13.66	14.10	14.77	15.42	14.48	13.74	14.12	14.83	15.49	14.54
A_3 30	16.32	17.83	18.90	20.39	18.36	16.39	18.57	19.67	20.43	18.76
Mean A	12.79	13.59	14.28	15.05		12.85	13.81	14.52	15.11	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.10	0.10	0.20			0.12	0.12	0.24		
C.D.	0.29	0.29	0.59			0.36	0.36	0.72		
SE(d)	0.14	0.14	0.29			0.17	0.17	0.35		

Table-1.3: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on fruit drop (%) in ber fruit

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	90.39	89.68	89.13	88.77	89.49	90.33	89.60	89.09	88.73	89.44
A_1 10	88.41	87.88	87.40	86.82	87.63	88.36	87.83	87.33	86.73	87.56
A_2 20	86.33	85.90	85.23	84.57	85.51	86.26	85.87	85.17	84.50	85.45
A_3 30	83.67	82.16	81.09	79.60	81.63	83.61	81.43	80.32	79.56	81.23
Mean A	87.20	86.40	85.71	84.94		87.14	86.18	85.48	84.88	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.10	0.10	0.20			0.12	0.12	0.24		
C.D.	0.29	0.29	0.59			0.36	0.36	0.72		
SE(d)	0.14	0.14	0.29			0.17	0.17	0.35		

Table-1.4: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on length of ber fruit (cm)

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	2.62	2.71	2.78	2.92	2.75	2.69	2.81	2.92	3.01	2.86
A_1 10	3.00	3.19	3.33	3.43	3.24	3.10	3.26	3.37	3.45	3.29
A_2 20	3.53	3.71	3.81	3.94	3.75	3.64	3.84	3.92	4.02	3.85
A_3 30	4.03	4.41	5.01	5.35	4.70	4.19	4.29	4.71	4.99	4.55
Mean A	3.29	3.50	3.73	3.91		3.41	3.55	3.73	3.87	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.05	0.05	0.10			0.03	0.03	0.07		
C.D.	0.14	0.14	0.29			0.11	0.11	0.22		
SE(d)	0.07	0.07	0.14			0.05	0.05	0.10		

Table-1.5: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on width of fruit ber (cm)

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0 Control	2.63	2.73	2.78	2.90	2.76	2.66	2.78	2.81	2.88	2.78
A_1 10	3.00	3.13	3.22	3.30	3.16	3.07	3.15	3.25	3.32	3.20
A_2 20	3.43	3.52	3.62	3.72	3.57	3.45	3.57	3.66	3.77	3.61
A_3 30	3.83	4.00	4.12	4.24	4.05	3.89	4.05	4.15	4.27	4.09
Mean A	3.22	3.34	3.43	3.54		3.27	3.39	3.47	3.56	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.01		
SE(d)	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.01	0.01	0.02		

Table-1.6: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on fruit weight in ber (g)

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	25.55	25.91	26.42	26.99	26.22	25.55	26.46	26.70	27.66	26.59
A_1 10	27.55	27.93	28.54	29.14	28.29	27.97	28.25	29.47	29.78	28.86
A_2 20	30.16	31.27	32.13	32.96	31.63	30.53	31.46	31.99	33.22	31.80
A_3 30	33.65	34.12	35.16	36.62	34.89	34.86	35.14	35.90	36.82	35.68
Mean A	29.23	29.81	30.56	31.43		29.73	30.33	31.01	31.87	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.01	0.01	0.02			0.02	0.02	0.04		
C.D.	0.03	0.03	0.06			0.12	0.12	0.04		
SE(d)	0.01	0.01	0.03			0.06	0.06	0.08		

Table-1.7: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on stone length in ber (cm)

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	2.70	2.62	2.52	2.32	2.54	2.79	2.66	2.55	2.38	2.59
A_1 10	2.20	2.09	1.99	1.86	2.03	2.20	2.06	1.99	1.90	2.04
A_2 20	1.73	1.59	1.48	1.34	1.53	1.77	1.59	1.42	1.34	1.53
A_3 30	1.23	1.13	0.97	0.88	1.05	1.21	1.12	1.02	0.87	1.05
Mean A	1.96	1.86	1.74	1.60		1.99	1.86	1.75	1.62	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.00	0.00	0.01			0.00	0.00	0.01		
C.D.	0.01	0.01	0.03			0.02	0.02	0.04		
SE(d)	0.00	0.00	0.01			0.01	0.01	0.02		

Table-1.8: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on stone diameter in ber (cm)

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	1.31	1.26	1.20	1.15	1.23	1.29	1.25	1.19	1.14	1.22
A_1 10	1.09	1.05	1.02	0.97	1.03	1.08	1.04	1.02	0.98	1.03
A_2 20	0.96	0.95	0.93	0.88	0.93	0.95	0.93	0.91	0.87	0.91
A_3 30	0.85	0.79	0.75	0.73	0.78	0.82	0.79	0.73	0.70	0.76
Mean A	1.05	1.01	0.97	0.93		1.03	1.00	0.96	0.92	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.00	0.00	0.01			0.00	0.00	0.00		
C.D.	0.01	0.01	0.02			0.01	0.01	0.02		
SE(d)	0.00	0.00	0.01			0.00	0.00	0.01		

Table-1.9: Effect of foliar application of NAA, 2, 4, 5-T and their interaction on stone weight in ber (g)

PGRs Doses	NAA ppm (N)									
2,4,5-T ppm (A)	2021					2022				
	N_0 Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B	N_0Control	N_1 20	N_2 30	N_3 40	Mean B
A_0Control	1.80	1.72	1.65	1.56	1.68	1.77	1.71	1.63	1.55	1.67
A_1 10	1.50	1.42	1.34	1.26	1.38	1.48	1.41	1.33	1.25	1.37
A_2 20	1.20	1.09	1.04	0.98	1.08	1.18	1.08	1.03	0.94	1.06
A_3 30	0.88	0.82	0.77	0.65	0.78	0.91	0.83	0.77	0.66	0.79
Mean A	1.34	1.26	1.20	1.11		1.33	1.26	1.19	1.10	
Factors	A	B	AXB			A	B	AXB		
SE(m)±	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00		
C.D.	0.01	0.01	0.02			0.00	0.00	0.01		
SE(d)	0.00	0.00	0.01			0.00	0.00	0.00		