

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_98238</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of phosphorous and vermicompost on growth characteristics and yield of chickpea (Cicer aretinum L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The initial part of the abstract does not include the formulation of the problem which is the basic idea of the research</li> <li>• The method section is complemented by the time of the research</li> <li>• the method section does not include the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the manure used and the characteristics of the soil before treatment</li> <li>• the new discussion section at the stage of describing the table, should provide an analysis of the results displayed in the table</li> <li>• the discussion section has not provided a relationship between yields and the characteristics of the manure given and the characteristics of the soil</li> <li>• the reference section still uses a lot of very old literature, try the last 5-10 years                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• writing bibliography still using manual not using Mendeley application</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• please be consistent in writing units</li> <li>• Table presentation should use an open table</li> <li>• please synchronize, because the title uses vermicompost, the method section uses FYM and the conclusion uses vermicompost</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	in the discussion section relate the results to the characteristics of the fertilizer used and relate them to the characteristics of the soil in the experimental land	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>I Nengah Muliarta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Warmadewa University, Indonesia</b>