

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97923
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Genetic Variability and different Character Association in Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) under late sown condition.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, this will help the researchers associated in chickpea improvement programme. Yes. Yes. Yes. There is a huge scope of improvement. Language can be improvement. Strong discussions may be added for the findings. Reference section needs a major correction with regard to uniformity. Recent references are needed to be incorporated in most of the places.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable but improvement is needed.	
Optional/General comments	See papers of Gautam et al. (2021); Mohan et al. (2019); Awasthi et al. (2019);	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akhouri Nishant Bhanu
Department, University & Country	Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, India