

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98125
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of birds in agro ecosystem: A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. The number of references listed are only 37, while many more are referred in the text. Being a review article, on such a broad topic of birds of agroecosystems, the review could be improved by including more recent papers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under the introduction part, the manuscript claims that "suggestions are made for future research on bird conservation strategies". However, this is not given anywhere and should be added. Reference list is incomplete. Several References cited in the text (Eg: Merino and Noguerras, 2003, Merin and Noguerras, 2003, Richard et al., 2021, Balasubramanyan and Imran Khan, 2016, Pejchar et al., 2008, Tabur et al., 2010... etc) are not given in the reference list. Please check thoroughly and update the reference list by including all the references cited in the manuscript. Being a review article, in the absence of complete reference list, I found it very difficult to review the manuscript. 	

Comment [DAPR1]: Absent in the reference list. Please add

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arun, P. R.
Department, University & Country	Sálim Ali Centre for Ornithology and Natural History, India