

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_97986</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Multi-interests of external powers in the Pacific</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>This text is very important in developing knowledge about the Multi-interests of external powers in the Pacific</b>  2. <b>The title is good and describes the content</b>  3. <b>in the abstract section you can add research methods Research results and Research implications</b>  4. <b>you can check the journal guidebook again to match the template journal</b>  5. <b>is good enough but still needs some corrections to support the writing of the paper</b>  6. <b>still need a lot of additional reference data from the background section to analysis, quotes are adjusted and must be consistent, look for the latest updated data to support your analysis</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	in better proofreading	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	Additional data and analysis need to be strengthened in this paper	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elyta S. Sos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Tanjungpura, Indonesia</b>