

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_97986
Title of the Manuscript:	Multi-interests of external powers in the Pacific
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes its relevant The article is suitable The abstract is comprehensive Correct Its correct Relevant references are interesting, but it would be interesting to base the data on quality documentary sources from journals with an impact factor to improve the visibility of the journal and its positioning.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English has quality	
Optional/General comments	The article raises and values the objectives of the historical sciences, review historical approaches and leave for the future clarifications of the different moments, their incidence in the present and their links with the future. The historical review is essential to enhance the present and understand the evolution of ideas and situations. The text is bright, explanatory and opens the doors to a reflection that serves to find solutions to the conflict of interest and promote proactivity as the authors express in the conclusion: We really need to draw a Table, and step by step calculating conflict of interests in the region; factors of real potentials, challenges, hinderances and solution, as norms, values, facts in the Pacific, rather to invent a one-solutions for all, because each countries already carries differences derived from its own past.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amador Cernuda-Lago
Department, University & Country	King Juan Carlos University, Spain