

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_98373
Title of the Manuscript:	DELAYED PRESENTATION OF ACCIDENTAL NON-SEX RELATED PENILE FRACTURE WITH URETHRAL INJURY: A CASE REPORT.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript (case report) is important for scientific community. 2. In the title it is important to insert "INTERVENTION DELAYED" and remove NON-SEX RELATED, because it is not the case report study. I suggest: DELAYED PRESENTATION AND INTERVENTION OF ACCIDENTAL PENILE FRACTURE WITH URETHRAL INJURY: A CASE REPORT. 3. Yes. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. Yes. Subsections and structure are appropriate. 5. Correct, but the references need updating. 6. References need to be updated. (I suggest in the legend Figure 1) Figure 1: Physical examination in delayed presentation. Swelling with left-sided deviation following the penile injury.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carla Braga Mano Gallo
Department, University & Country	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil