

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_98465
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL AND ANTI-ULCER PROPERTIES OF ALLOPHYLUS AFRICANUS P BEAUV. (SAPINDACEAE) LEAF
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The manuscript proposed to me for revision study proposed the opportunity to evaluate the antiulcer properties of methanol extract of the leaves <i>Allophylus africanus</i> . The results showed that the extract was non-toxic at a dose below 5000 mg/kg and that there was no significant difference between the activity of the standard drug (omeprazole 20 mg/kg) and the crude extract (500 mg/kg) at ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) The authors state that routine phytochemical tests show the presence of alkaloids, saponins, tannins, flavonoids, carbohydrates, proteins, terpenoids, etc. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. The title of the article is suitable, and the abstract is comprehensive. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, and it is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient and recent. The article is suitable for publication after revision for grammar and punctuation. The shrift size is 16, but it is inappropriate for scientific publication. In addition, the tables are different - see tables 1,2,3,4 and table 5. Therefore, it is necessary to unify.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christina Voycheva
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria