

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_98312
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF INDOMETHACIN ON THE SPERM MOTILITY OF ADULT WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it evaluates the impact of indomethacin on rats' sperm quality. 2- Yes, the title of this article looks appropriate. 3- The abstract looks comprehensive but I would suggest to put the background information about indomethacin before the study aim. 4- The article looks to have correct structure and sections. 5- The manuscript looks correct from a scientific perspective but I recommend to carry out a statistical comparison tests in the results and provide p value. 6- The references are few and not up to date. Also, some in-text citations are missing in the reference section. 7- Authors are advised to recheck the animals' weight in the materials and methods section.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Authors are advised to recheck article for mistakes in grammar and punctuations like extra spaces.	
Optional/General comments	Authors are advised to mention the date for carrying this experiment in the materials and methods section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar
Department, University & Country	Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq