

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_97544
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytomegalovirus (CMV) as a rare cause of gastritis in a renal Transplant Recipient-A case study from Pakistan
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. It is very important for the scientific community in both applied & academic aspects. Yes Yes Because it is a case report, it is not necessary to have steps that much if the case is imparted/reported clearly. Yes, but minor corrections. Yes, they are adequate.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,	
Optional/General comments	► The phrase "double standard DNA" must corrected as "double stranded DNA" ► space between words and after a full stop must always be given, but are missed in some places.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Feleke Eriso Orbalo
Department, University & Country	Wachemo University, Ethiopia