

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_98314   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Analysis of Chickpea: FPO and Non FPO Members in Banda District of Bundelkhand Region |
| Type of the Article      | Empirical   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | The manuscript submitted belongs to the farmer's community, in which those who are the Farmer producers' organizations (FPO) and non-members. This will affect to their return on their investment.<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>It is just an Empirical study using secondary data<br><br>There are very few references papers about recent research work. |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | There are some typographical errors.   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | There is scope for conducting research using primary data or conducting a survey. The candidate could have been conducted a survey for gathering data.   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Rathnakar Achary</b>           |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Alliance University, India</b> |