

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAAR_98154 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PERFORMANCE OF PROMISING POTATO GENOTYPES ON RED ANT (<i>Dorylus orientalis</i>) MANAGEMENT AND TUBER YIELD |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | Yes, In this manuscript; researcher find out the reason to increase yield of potato by simple controlling red ant population. Yes, It's ok. Yes, It's ok. Add one subsection as per suggested in manuscript (2.1 Statistical analysis (write the Subsection) ok Need some correction in formatting of reference such as all the reference font size, line spacing, and also arrange in one reference style (Harvard, APA....) | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes but need to polish the language | |
| Optional/General comments | Overall good manuscript Follow author guidelines | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Gayatriben B Patel |
| Department, University & Country | Shree Maneklal M patel Institute of science and Research, India |