

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_95155 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Energy Balance Studies of Weed Management Practices in Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> (L.) Czerj and Cosson) |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. Due to the importance of planting this product in the region under study, the need to increase productivity is obvious, and the author refers to this importance in this article. 2. The title of the article is appropriate 3. The author has tried to present the desired content in a concise but comprehensive manner. 4. The article is compiled in a concise and useful manner. 5. The article is well prepared and is currently in a good scientific condition. 6. References are sufficient and up-to-date. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The article is correctly compiled based on English grammar. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Mohammad Reza Zargaran Khouzani |
| Department, University & Country | Khuzestan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran |