

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_95030
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF TIMBER HARVEST IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA BETWEEN 2013 AND 2019
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community to know the level of timber harvest in Ondo State, Nigeria. In this way, timber harvesting can be regulated in future forestry planning and development.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract includes a summary introduction, objectives, methods, quantitative results, discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>4. Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript consists of an abstract, introduction, methodology, results, discussion, conclusions, and recommendations as well as a bibliography.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, however, it needs improvement in perfecting this paper.</p> <p>6. The literature referred to is mostly over 10 years old. More recent literature is needed to be related to this research. Added references to the latest research. In this manuscript, there are only 3 references for the last 10 years, or only 16% of the 16 references cited. At least 80% of referrals in the last 10 years.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The manuscripts must be corrected, and all citations in manuscripts that are over 10years old need to be replaced with references from the last 10 years (2012 to the 2022 year).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The introduction provides the writer's insight and plans for the problem-solving effort. The literature referred to in the introduction is mostly over 10 years old. Need more literature review in the introduction. References need improvement.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The method is explained clearly but needs to be supplemented with a map of the research location.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Give reasons why data collection in the study area was from 2013 to 2019</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The results and discussion are quite clear. But the discussion needs improvement. Most of the literature referred to in the discussion is over 10 years old. Replace the referenced literature with the most recent and compare it with other similar writingsto show differences and/or similarities with this study.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The conclusions do not answer the research objectives, still globally</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>References need improvement</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the English articles is suitable for scientific communication, but needs improvement</p> <p>The English in the manuscript has been corrected</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In perfecting this manuscript, some parts of this paper need to be improved, namely the abstract, the introduction and, the discussion by adding literature, conclusion and references	
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yelin Adalina</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>