

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_95030
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF TIMBER HARVEST IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA BETWEEN 2013 AND 2019
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. It is important, because the illegal or legal harvesting of wood threatens the forest ecosystem, biodiversity and the ecological balance of the Ondo-Nigeria region. This occurs due to the lack of state policy to protect the forest reserve and free areas.</p> <p>2. It would be convenient to expand the problem.</p> <p>3. The Materials and Methods subsection. What remains is to order. But if it is described.</p> <p>4. If there is an analysis of timber harvest in Ondo-Nigeria region 2013-2019. The effects are described.</p> <p>5. The references are some very old. But they are still valid. Example from (Akundele &amp; Fuwape, 1998).</p> <p>6. There are tables, it is described. But the graphics that could better illustrate the investigation are missing. For example: between the variables exploitation of wood and decrease in biological diversity. The relationship is inverse. The greater the exploitation, the less biological diversity.</p> <p>7. In the discussion for the author of the article, it is stated that there are no significant differences (<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>) between the number of stems removed in 2015 and 2017 from free areas. The same happened in 2013-2019, from the free area and forest reserve (Table 5). However, there is a significant difference in the number of stems harvested for each of the species, which is an indication that logging contractors prefer durable tree species over less durable ones (Akindele &amp; Fuwape, 1998).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eusebio Benique Olivera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional del Altiplano, Perú</b>