

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_98757
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of GA <sub>3</sub> and ZnSO <sub>4</sub> on fruiting, yield and quality parameter of litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) cv. Dehradun
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript provides information for research on the production of good quality litchi fruits. 2. YES 3. YES, the abstract is comprehensive; however, the sentence for present results is too long. Briefly present the aim of the study in the abstract, please. 4. YES, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. 5. YES, the manuscript is scientifically correct, however, more intensive review of scientific articles published in scientific journals is required for better background and problem statement. 6. YES, however, some more references of scientific articles are required, especially in the Introduction section. Check the presentation of the entire References section, please.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. Suggest to give the meaning of "TSS", "viz" and "cv. Dehradun" in Materials and Methods section 2. Suggest to present all statistics in the form of "average + standard deviation" 3. Suggest to add aim of the study and briefly present the methodology in Conclusion section 4. Suggest to add one future work in Conclusion section	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Djoukeng Henri Grisseur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dschang, Cameroon</b>