

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_98644</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative study on effectiveness of programmed instruction and lecture method on cognitive domain of extension functionaries</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is essential for the scientific community. This article provides information regarding programmed instruction (PI) and its effectiveness in the cognitive domain of agricultural extension functionaries.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is too brief. Perhaps the author can include a short introduction in the abstract to explain Program Instruction (PI). Then, the author can briefly mention the study's implications at the end of the abstract.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the author could include the background of the study or a brief literature review (some past related studies). This part seems to be missing in this manuscript. The research objective could be mentioned clearly in the introduction. Perhaps the author can add a research hypothesis since this is experimental research.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, but some elements are missing. It would be better if the author could address the following:</p> <p>(i) What is the sampling method used?</p> <p>(ii) What were the instruments used for the pre-test and post-test? What is the format of the tests? Multiple choice questions or essay questions? What are the domains being tested?</p> <p>(iii) Who designed and developed the PI materials? Please further elaborate on the PI materials to give readers a picture of the PI materials. So that further studies could be conducted based on the findings of this study.</p> <p>(iv) What are the implications or future recommendations of this study?</p> <p>(v) What are the limitations of this study?</p> <p>6. The references are insufficient and quite out-to-date. Please include some recent references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. But there is one spelling mistake. Please check.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Low Jie Ying
Department, University & Country	Sultan Idris Education University, Malaysia