

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98413
Title of the Manuscript:	“Impact of sulphur levels and boron on growth and yield of summer green gram (Vigna radiata L.)”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript importing for scientific community The title of this article is appropriate Abstract needs to be described comprehensively Subsections and the structure of the manuscript is appropriate This manuscript is scientifically correct References are good because most of them use the last 10 years of libraries	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the English language of this article is good	
Optional/General comments	Abstract: in general, the abstract of an article contains problems, methods, and important research results which are presented briefly Discussion: it is necessary to describe in depth the impact of using a combination of boron and sulfur, because these elements cannot work independently but work in the form of interactions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakka Samudin
Department, University & Country	Tadulako University, Indonesia