

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_98699</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fast-slow Dynamical Analysis in Harmonic Gear Transmission System with Non-smooth Gear Clearance Condition</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Ans 1. References are marked in a broader way they are to be specific. For eg [since its birth<sup>11-5j</sup>.] or [6-8], [10-14], [17-20]..</b> Elaboration of figuration required in the text. Significance of each figure has to be provided.  Ans2. Yes  Ans3. Some revision required in abstract.  Ans4. Result and discussion can be added  Ans 5. OK. It has to be proved through experiments. (Just Theoretical Explanation given)  Ans6. More references to added	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	OK	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Needs revision before acceptance <b>Result and discussion Missing what is the significance of each finding is Missing (Needs Revision)</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Devendra Vashist</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies, India</b>